



The Western Consequentialist Paradigm: Statism, Darwinian Anthropology, and the Logic of Domination

Author:
Manuel Tacanho

Published:
12 March 2026

Academic Paper:
No. 004/2026

Editorial Note: This paper, *The Western Consequentialist Paradigm: Statism, Darwinian Anthropology, and the Logic of Domination*, expands upon Section 3 (The Western Consequentialist, Statist, and Darwinian Paradigm) of *The Africonomics Theory of International Relations: A Natural-Moral Framework for Civilized Global Order* (Tacanho, 2026). Here, that foundational critique is developed into a more comprehensive examination of the philosophical, ethical, and institutional structure of the Western paradigm. The present work deepens the analysis, traces its anthropological and moral foundations, and advances a natural-moral law refutation of consequentialism, statism, and Darwinian political thought as the governing logic of the modern Western order.

The Western Consequentialist Paradigm: Statism, Darwinian Anthropology, and the Logic of Domination

Manuel Tacanho*

ABSTRACT

This paper examines and refutes the philosophical foundations of the modern Western order. It demonstrates that contemporary Western political, economic, and international institutions are grounded in three mutually reinforcing premises: consequentialism (outcome-based ethics), statism (comprehensive coercive control), and Darwinian anthropology (denial of human dignity and moral nature). Together, these form a coherent yet deeply flawed framework that normalizes domination, hierarchy, coercion, exploitation, and structural violence. These three pillars form an integrated system where each element strengthens and requires the others, producing what this paper identifies as the logic of domination—the systematic subordination of human beings to arbitrary power, manifested in domestic authoritarianism, economic exploitation, cultural engineering, international imperialism, and permanent warfare. This domination is unsustainable and inexorably leads to civilizational collapse. Against this mechanistic-animalistic paradigm, Africonomics presents a natural-moral law framework grounded in objective ethics, human dignity, and voluntary cooperation as a foundation for a structurally just, peaceful, and civilized order. The analysis concludes that civilizational flourishing is possible but requires philosophical reorientation, moral reconstruction, and institutional transformation.

KEYWORDS: Africonomics, anthropological philosophy, moral philosophy, political philosophy, consequentialism, statism, Darwinian anthropology, social order, international relations, natural-moral law, civilization.

* Manuel Tacanho (mt@afirindependent.org) is a social philosopher and economist; and the founder and president of the Afrindependent Institute.

Suggested citation: Tacanho, Manuel. 2026. The Western Consequentialist Paradigm: Statism, Darwinian Anthropology, and the Logic of Domination. Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 004/2026.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction: The Crisis of the Modern Western Order.....	3
2. Consequentialism: The Philosophical Foundation of Modern Barbarism.....	5
3. Statism: The Institutionalization of Coercion.....	18
4. Darwinian Anthropology: The Animalization of Human Relations.....	31
5. How the Three Pillars Reinforce Each Other.....	45
6. The Logic of Domination: From Premises to Practice.....	47
7. Global Apartheid: The Racial Hegemony of the Western Order.....	62
8. Why the Western Paradigm Is Fundamentally Barbaric.....	67
9. Replacing the Western Paradigm: Foundations for a Civilized Order.....	79
10. Conclusion: Beyond the Logic of Domination.....	86
References.....	93

1. Introduction: The Crisis of the Modern Western Order

The modern Western order—comprising North America, Western Europe, and their ideological and institutional extensions across the globe—faces a crisis that is not only political or economic, but civilizational. The dysfunction is systemic and simultaneous: arbitrary governance, technocratic tyranny, institutional corruption, permanent militarization, fiat monetary instability, widening inequality, social fragmentation, cultural disintegration, and the erosion of shared truth. These are not isolated malfunctions; they are converging symptoms of a fundamental, structural disorder.

Conventional responses misidentify the problem. Public debate revolves around marginal adjustments such as tax rates, regulatory reforms, electoral procedures, budget allocations, or changes in political leadership. Competing factions propose more or less intervention, redistribution, or deregulation, yet share the common assumption that the framework itself is sound and requires only improved management. This assumption is mistaken.

The crisis is not one of policy failure but of paradigm failure.

A paradigm, as used here, denotes a comprehensive worldview—a set of foundational assumptions about human nature, morality, authority, and social order that shapes institutions and constrains imagination. Paradigms operate beneath the level of conscious scrutiny. They define what appears realistic, rational, or morally acceptable. Because they structure perception itself, the distortions they generate cannot be corrected from within. When a paradigm is flawed, reform is insufficient; replacement becomes necessary.

This paper demonstrates that the modern Western order rests on three mutually reinforcing philosophical pillars: consequentialism as its ethical framework, statism as its political-economic structure, and Darwinian anthropology as its conception of human nature. These elements form a well-integrated yet fundamentally flawed paradigm. Each depends upon and strengthens the others, creating a self-sustaining architecture resistant to superficial reform.

The central thesis advanced here is that this triad constitutes a mechanistic-animalistic paradigm that logically produces what may be termed *the logic of domination*: the systematic subordination of human beings to arbitrary power. This domination manifests domestically in centralized systems of coercion, control, and privilege, economic manipulation, cultural engineering, and moral relativism; internationally in imperial hierarchy, monetary hegemony, permanent strategic rivalry, and perpetual warfare. These outcomes are the predictable

consequences of the underlying philosophical premises, not anomalies or aberrations.

In contrast, Africonomics advances a fundamentally different paradigm grounded in natural-moral law, voluntary cooperation, and a moral anthropology that affirms humans as created beings endowed with inherent dignity, ethical discernment, and capacity for peaceful flourishing. Where the Western paradigm legitimizes coercion through outcome-based reasoning and animalistic anthropology, Africonomics constrains power through objective moral principles and structures order through decentralized, voluntary relations. Its framework offers a path toward structural justice, peaceful cooperation, and civilizational rebirth.

The Western paradigm has been globalized through colonial expansion, economic dominance, and ideological dissemination. Its institutional forms, such as central banking systems, expansive administrative states, and consequentialist policy frameworks, now shape societies worldwide. Its anthropological assumptions inform education, media, and public discourse across cultures. As a result, the logic of domination is no longer regionally confined; it is globally embedded.

The consequences are severe: militarism and permanent warfare, entrenched economic dependency, institutional corruption, autocratic systems cloaked in procedural democracy, cultural homogenization, and the erosion of human dignity and liberty under coercive state-dominated systems. These outcomes are often presented as scientific and inevitable features of modernity, yet they are neither scientific nor inevitable. They are based upon philosophical assumptions and ideological commitments that can be examined, challenged, and replaced.

If human societies continue to organize themselves based on consequentialist ethics, statist centralization, and Darwinian reductionism, instability, dehumanization, and suffering will intensify. If, however, societies recover objective moral foundations and restructure institutions accordingly, a different trajectory toward structural justice, productive peace, and genuine prosperity becomes possible.

Human beings are moral agents capable of recognizing truth and choosing justice, not animalistic beings fated for perpetual rivalry and conflict. Paradigm transformation is therefore not a utopian aspiration but a moral and civilizational necessity. The question confronting the modern world is whether it will persist in a framework that institutionalizes domination and exploitation, or undertake the intellectual and moral reconstruction required for ethically ordered human relations, true civilization, and dignified flourishing.

2. Consequentialism: The Philosophical Foundation of Modern Barbarism

What Is Consequentialism?

Consequentialism is the umbrella term for ethical frameworks that hold that actions are morally evaluated solely or primarily by their consequences—that the rightness or wrongness of conduct depends entirely upon the outcomes it produces, that moral worth is determined by results rather than by conformity to intrinsic principles, and that the ends justify the means provided the anticipated benefits sufficiently outweigh the costs.

Within this framework, there are no absolute moral standards, no actions wrong in themselves regardless of circumstances or consequences, and no inviolable principles that bind categorically. Moral rules, if acknowledged at all, function merely as provisional guidelines or rules of thumb subject to suspension whenever calculation suggests that violating them would produce benefit. The “greatest good for the greatest number” becomes a supreme moral criterion, and individual rights, human dignity, and intrinsic justice become at best instrumental considerations subordinate to aggregate utility maximization.

As demonstrated in *Restoring Objective Morality: The Africonomics Refutation of Western Consequentialist Philosophies* (Tacanho, 2025), modern Western intellectual traditions have been profoundly shaped by consequentialist ethics rooted in utilitarianism, positivism, pragmatism, and materialism. These frameworks measure the rightness of action by perceived social utility, economic efficiency, aggregate welfare, political expediency, or other outcome-based criteria while systematically denying the existence of objective moral truths and rejecting the concept of intrinsic human dignity that would constrain what may be done to individuals in pursuit of collective goals.

Consequentialism’s dominance in modern legal, economic, social, and political thought did not occur through philosophical demonstration of its falsity but through cultural, institutional, and ideological shifts associated with Enlightenment rationalism, scientific materialism, secularization, and the rise of statism, which requires flexible ethical justification (teleological ethics) for coercive governance, arbitrary rule, and expanded state power. The adoption of consequentialism serves ideological functions; it provides a sophisticated rationalization for policies that violate moral standards and human dignity while appearing rational and scientifically grounded.

The Fatal Flaws of Consequentialist Ethics

Consequentialism suffers from multiple fatal philosophical and practical flaws that render it both intellectually incoherent and morally catastrophic:

The measurement problem: consequentialism requires comparing and aggregating vastly different types of consequences affecting different people across time. How does one measure happiness, pleasure, utility, or welfare? How are different goods compared—health vs. wealth, security vs. liberty, present consumption vs. future sustainability? How are interpersonal comparisons made when individuals value outcomes differently? These are not mere technical difficulties but fundamental conceptual impossibilities. There is no objective unit of measurement for “utility” or “welfare,” no coherent way to aggregate subjective experiences across individuals, and no neutral standpoint from which to evaluate competing conceptions of the good. Consequentialism’s quantitative pretensions collapse into arbitrary stipulations about what counts as a benefit or a harm and how different consequences should be weighed.

The knowledge problem: consequentialism requires predicting all relevant consequences of actions, including long-term effects, unintended consequences, and ripple effects across complex systems. This is epistemologically impossible. Human knowledge is radically limited; humans cannot foresee all consequences of their actions, cannot predict how others will respond, and cannot know how current choices will affect distant futures. Yet consequentialism makes ethical evaluation depend precisely on this impossible knowledge. In practice, this means that consequentialist justifications rely on speculative predictions, arbitrary assumptions, and selective attention to favored outcomes while ignoring or minimizing potential harms. The result is systematic bias toward policies that serve state power to define what consequences count and how they should be evaluated.

The aggregation problem: consequentialism treats people as mechanistic, interchangeable units in aggregate calculations. This is fundamentally dehumanizing. Individual suffering or rights violations become morally acceptable if outweighed by sufficient benefits to others. This violates the crucial moral insight that individuals are ends in themselves, not means to collective or state goals. Consequentialism’s fundamental inhumanity lies in its reduction of persons with inherent dignity and agency to statistical aggregates and variables in utilitarian equations.

The rights violation problem: consequentialism provides no intrinsic protection for individual rights or human dignity. Rights are at most instrumental, respected when doing so produces the desired consequences, and violated when doing so appears beneficial. This instrumentalization

destroys the very concept of rights. A right that can be violated whenever aggregate calculation suggests that violation would produce net benefits is not a right at all; it is a temporary privilege or a policy preference. Consequentialism, therefore, cannot ground actual human rights or provide principled protection against tyranny. Sufficiently favorable outcome predictions can justify any injustice, even atrocity.

The moral corruption problem: consequentialist ethics corrupts moral reasoning by training people to focus on rationalization rather than principle, to seek sophisticated justifications for what they want to do rather than actual ethical discernment, and to treat moral language as strategic rhetoric deployed in service of predetermined objectives rather than as a description of objective moral reality. When morality is reduced to outcome calculation rather than recognition of a binding universal truth, moral formation degenerates into training in clever argumentation. Consequentialism has led to the institutionalization of moral relativism, and moral relativism is no morality at all. It is the dissolution of moral standards.

The problem of perverse incentives: consequentialism creates incentives to manipulate what counts as consequences, who counts in the calculus, and how different outcomes are weighted. Those with the power to define terms and measure outcomes can shape consequentialist justifications to serve their interests while appearing scientific. This explains why consequentialist frameworks so often legitimize existing power arrangements and status quo injustices. Those who benefit from current systems can define the relevant consequences, measurement criteria, and aggregation methods to validate continuation of their power and privileges.

The self-refutation problem: consequentialism faces a logical self-refutation. If consequentialism is true, then whether one should accept consequentialism depends on whether doing so produces good consequences. But one cannot evaluate that question without already having some moral framework for determining what counts as good consequences, which requires going beyond consequentialism. Moreover, if consequentialism is justified by its consequences, then one should abandon it whenever doing so would produce better outcomes. A mother might produce better consequences for her children by believing she has intrinsic duties to them rather than merely consequentialist reasons to care for them. If consequentialism recommends self-abandonment, it refutes itself.

These flaws are not marginal defects that require technical fixes but fundamental problems inherent to the consequentialist project of reducing morality to outcome-based calculation. They demonstrate that consequentialism cannot provide a coherent or humane ethical framework and

that its adoption leads inexorably to moral incoherence, arbitrary governance, technocratic tyranny, structural injustice, systemic dysfunction, and sophisticated barbarism.

Consequentialism as Moral Dissolution

The practical effect of consequentialist ethics is the dissolution of moral principles and constraints. If all moral evaluations are reduced to outcome-based calculation, then everything becomes permissible under sufficiently favorable circumstances or sufficiently dire emergencies. There are no absolutes, no categorical prohibitions, no inviolable boundaries that cannot be crossed when the stakes appear high enough. This moral relativism is dangerous and destructive.

The dissolution manifests through several mechanisms:

Emergency becomes normal - consequentialist logic is most seductive during crises when extreme measures appear necessary. The example of “torture one terrorist to prevent an attack that might kill thousands” makes consequentialism seem effective and correct. But once the principle is established that there are no intrinsic moral constraints or that they can be violated, every situation can be framed as an emergency that requires exception. Governments routinely declare emergencies—public health, economic, security, or otherwise—that justify measures that would be rejected as tyrannical under normal circumstances. Yet if consequentialism is correct, the distinction between emergency and normalcy becomes irrelevant. The result is the normalization of exceptions as permanent conditions of governance.

The greater good overrides individual rights - whenever individual rights conflict with claimed collective benefits, consequentialism provides ready justification for sacrifice. Property rights can be violated through taxation or expropriation if the proceeds fund programs claimed to benefit the majority. Liberty can be suppressed through regulation if authorities claim restrictions serve public safety or welfare. Privacy can be eliminated through large-scale surveillance if security benefits allegedly outweigh autonomy costs. Life itself can be ended if consequentialist calculation suggests these deaths serve broader social objectives. No right is secure when subject to consequentialist override.

Moral language is preserved but emptied - consequentialist societies continue to use moral vocabulary—such as rights, justice, dignity, and fairness—but these terms lose their grounding in objective morality and become strategic rhetoric deployed to advance favored outcomes. “Justice” means whatever distribution maximizes aggregate welfare. “Rights” are whatever entitlements produce convenient outcomes. “Dignity” is whatever treatment serves utility or political rhetoric.

This manipulation of moral language is more insidious than blunt amorality because it obscures and undermines true moral discourse. Words that once connected to objective moral truths now float free as tools of manipulation.

Deception becomes permissible and entrenched - if lying produces better consequences than truth-telling, consequentialism not only permits but requires deception and manipulation. Government institutions and officials systematically lie to populations about policy rationales, economic conditions, or security threats whenever they judge that truth would produce panic, resistance, or politically undesirable responses. Experts deceive the public “for their own good” through “noble lies” about health, finance, or other complex matters where truth might lead to alternative outcomes. Institutions systematically misrepresent their purposes, methods, data, and effects because transparency would reduce their significance. Thus, consequentialism turns systematic dishonesty into a moral duty whenever deception produces marginally better outcomes. More detrimentally, consequentialism leads to a culture of lies, fraud, and deception at every level of society, eroding trust, peace, and prosperity.

Violence becomes normalized - war, torture, sanctions that cause mass civilian death, drone strikes that kill entire families, economic policies that impoverish millions—all become acceptable if framed as serving sufficiently important objectives. Consequentialism provides endless flexibility to rationalize systemic coercion and violence through claims about necessity or lesser evil. No amount of suffering is categorically prohibited; everything becomes a matter of strategic calculation. Consequentialist logic has justified atrocities throughout history and continues to enable contemporary barbarism.

Historical Manifestations and Catastrophic Outcomes

The historical record demonstrates that consequentialist reasoning, when adopted as the foundation of governance structures, can produce catastrophic outcomes precisely because it dissolves moral standards that protect human dignity and natural rights.

Colonial exploitation - Western colonialism was explicitly justified through consequentialist appeals to civilizing mission, economic development, and overall benefit to humanity. The violence, atrocities, suffering, and dispossession imposed on colonized peoples were rationalized as a necessary cost of bringing civilization, Christianity, and economic progress. Colonial administrators calculated that extractive economies benefit Europe while devastating Africa served aggregate welfare because European prosperity counted more heavily than African suffering. This utilitarian calculus enabled horrors that would have been condemned under

objective ethics.

Eugenics and forced sterilization - early 20th-century eugenics movements justified forced sterilization of “unfit” populations through consequentialist reasoning. They argued that preventing reproduction among those deemed genetically inferior would benefit society by reducing crime, poverty, and the overall social burden. Cost-benefit analyses indicated that sterilization programs could yield positive outcomes. As a result, tens of thousands of people in the United States and hundreds of thousands globally were forcibly sterilized based on the belief that their reproductive rights should be sacrificed for the sake of collective genetic improvement. While objective ethics and natural rights frameworks would have categorically prohibited such violations of bodily autonomy, consequentialism enabled them.

Communist atrocities - communist regimes murdered over 100 million people in the 20th century through policies justified by consequentialist logic. Stalin’s collectivization that caused the Ukrainian famine, Mao’s Great Leap Forward and Cultural Revolution, Pol Pot’s genocide, and brutal state tyranny—all were rationalized as necessary sacrifices for building a utopian future. “You cannot make an omelet without breaking a few eggs” became the logic for mass murder. Individual lives counted for nothing against the claimed historical necessity of achieving a socialist paradise. Consequentialist ethics provided rationalization for the totalitarian barbarism of socialist systems.

Contemporary applications - consequentialist reasoning continues to enable contemporary barbarism. U.S. drone and missile strikes have killed thousands of innocent civilians, including children, as a necessary cost of combating ‘terrorism.’ Economic sanctions that immiserate civilian populations and cause mass starvation, medical catastrophe, and preventable death are rationalized as “peaceful” alternatives to war. Comprehensive surveillance that has eliminated privacy is defended as necessary for security. Central bank policies that systematically transfer wealth from the many to elites through fiat inflation are excused as serving economic stability and growth. Each injustice finds consequentialist defenders who calculate that the benefits outweigh the costs.

The pattern is unmistakable. Consequentialist ethics, when adopted as the foundation of governance structures, enable systemic injustice, violence, and atrocity by providing rationalization for violating moral principles that would otherwise protect human dignity and foster structural justice. It is not a coincidence that the most murderous ideologies and regimes of modernity, such as colonialism and socialism, all employ consequentialist reasoning. The

framework is uniquely suited to justifying large-scale organized violence, dehumanization, and exploitation because it rejects objective moral standards.

Consequentialism in Economic Thought

Consequentialism has profoundly shaped modern economic theory and policy, with devastating results for human flourishing and structurally just social order:

The utilitarian and positivist foundations of Western economics - modern economics emerged from utilitarian philosophy and retains its consequentialist structure. Mainstream economic models model individuals as utility maximizers pursuing subjective preferences. Welfare economics evaluates policies by whether they increase aggregate utility or social welfare. Cost-benefit analysis converts all values to monetary equivalents and evaluates projects based on net present value. Efficiency is elevated as a supreme criterion, defined as maximizing output from given inputs or satisfaction from given resources. This entire intellectual apparatus rests on consequentialist premises—that economic activity should be evaluated by outcomes measured in terms of utility, welfare, or money rather than by conformity to justice, rights, or moral standards. Even heterodox Western schools of economic thought remain consequentialist.

The reduction of value to price - consequentialist economics treats market prices as revealing all economically relevant information about value. What people are willing to pay determines what things are worth. This mechanistic reduction enables cost-benefit analyses that monetize everything and subject all to commensuration through price. The result is a systematic bias toward measurable outcomes and systematic neglect of goods that resist quantification. Human dignity, community solidarity, trust, and ethical principles cannot be priced or qualified and are therefore typically included in economic analysis.

Manipulation as economic policy - central banking, the monetary cornerstone of modern economies and statist economics, functions through systemic deception and manipulation, which is fully embraced and justified under consequentialist logic. Inflation targeting deliberately erodes the currency's purchasing power to stimulate spending. Interest rate manipulation distorts intertemporal price signals to engineer booms. Quantitative easing transfers wealth to political and financial elites by creating money out of nothing. All these violate basic honesty and property rights but are defended through consequentialist appeals as scientific and necessary for employment, growth, or stability. The fact that these policies systematically enrich elites while dispossessing the majority and imposing chronic economic instability is hardly acknowledged.

The myth of value-free economics - as demonstrated in *The Ethical Foundations of Economics: Beyond the Myth of Value-Free Social Science* (Tacanho, 2025), the claim that economics can be a value-free science is a philosophical and methodological confusion that enables systemic fraud, coercion, and exploitation under the veneer of scientific neutrality. Economic models inescapably embody ethical assumptions about what counts as a benefit or a cost, whose preferences matter, which time horizons are relevant, and what constitutes improvement. Consequentialist frameworks present these ethical choices as technical matters of optimization, concealing the reality that economic policy unavoidably involves moral decisions about winners and losers, justice and exploitation, rights and power. The pretense of value-neutrality in Western utilitarian-positivist economics serves ideological functions; it legitimizes centralized state control and policies that serve state power and elite interests while appearing scientifically objective.

How economic consequentialism enables exploitation - the consequentialist frameworks that underpin modern economic thought and policy—materialism, utilitarianism, and positivism—enable systemic coercion and structural exploitation while appearing rational and benign. Policies that transfer wealth from the productive majority to the state and elites through inflation, taxation, regulation, and financial manipulation are defended through macroeconomic models that show aggregate GDP growth or employment gains. The fact that such arrangements concentrate wealth and power among elites while costs fall on the powerless majority is overlooked. International institutions impose structural adjustment programs that devastate developing economies, justified through projections of eventual growth benefits. The actual results—increased poverty, destroyed livelihoods, economic instability, and social disintegration—are attributed to insufficient implementation rather than to the inherent tyranny and injustice of subordinating human life and to technocratic optimization.

The integration of consequentialism into economic thought and its destructive effects are more comprehensively examined in *The Ethical Foundations of Economics: Beyond the Myth of Value-Free Social Science* and *The Pseudoscience of Statist Economics: An Africonomics Refutation of Utilitarian and Positivist Models*, which demonstrate that sound economics must be grounded in the natural-moral law principles of truth, justice, property rights, voluntary exchange, and honest money rather than in centralized control, coercion, and outcome optimization that reduces and dehumanizes people as mere means to politically-driven aggregate goals.

Consequentialism in Political Philosophy and Governance

Consequentialist reasoning pervades modern political philosophy and governance with equally

destructive effects:

Social contract theory - contemporary social contract theory grounds political authority in hypothetical agreements justified by beneficial outcomes rather than in objective moral principles. Government is framed as legitimate because it serves functions such as security, coordination, and redistribution, not because it conforms to natural-moral law. This consequentialist foundation creates openings for comprehensive state power and autocracy. If authority derives from serving aggregate welfare, then expanding power becomes justified whenever claimed to serve collective benefits. Natural rights frameworks that constrain government regardless of outcomes are displaced by consequentialist authorization of power limited only by effectiveness at achieving desired results. This renders social contract theory fundamentally arbitrary and incoherent.

Democracy as an aggregation mechanism - consequentialist political theory treats democracy not as the protection of natural rights or the expression of inherent human dignity, but as a mechanism for aggregating preferences, maximizing collective satisfaction, and legitimizing arbitrary political power. Majority rule is justified by producing outcomes that satisfy more people than alternatives. Yet this reduces individuals to preference-bearers whose wants should be aggregated and optimized rather than rights-holders whose dignity and agency must be respected. The result is unlimited democratic and arbitrary power; the majority can vote to violate minority rights if doing so serves aggregate preference satisfaction. Constitutional constraints that protect individual rights make sense only from non-consequentialist frameworks that recognize that some actions are inherently wrong regardless of majority approval.

Technocratic governance - modern administrative states embody consequentialist logic in institutional form. Unelected expert agencies— typically central banks, regulatory commissions, and international institutions—exercise vast power justified through claims of technical competence in optimizing outcomes. These technocrats make decisions that affect millions of people based on cost-benefit analyses, efficiency gains, or welfare improvements. Contemporary technocratic governance represents consequentialism's ultimate expression: comprehensive social control and management by expert optimizers unconstrained by human dignity, natural rights, or moral principle, answerable only to their own models of aggregate benefit in service of state power.

How political consequentialism enables statism - the adoption of consequentialist political philosophy directly enables and requires statism. If government authority derives from serving collective

welfare and if moral evaluation depends on outcomes, then expanding state power becomes justified, even required, whenever doing so promises better results than alternatives. There are no principled limits on what a government may do, only pragmatic constraints on effectiveness or political will. Natural-moral law principles and individual rights that would categorically constrain power become negotiable interests to be balanced against collective benefits. The transition from a limited government that protects rights to a comprehensive, autocratic state that manages society follows logically from consequentialist premises.

Indeed, the fact that the Western political-economic order is arbitrary, coercive, and structurally violent reflects as much. Consequentialist political philosophy underpins the modern, heavily statist world—characterized by arbitrary governance, institutional corruption, technocratic dehumanization, and autocratic systems, many of them cloaked in procedural democracy. In contrast, political philosophy and governance grounded in natural-moral law can foster structurally just, peaceful, and civilized political-economic systems.

Consequentialism in Legal Theory and Practice

Western legal systems, whether common law or civil law traditions, are grounded in arbitrary foundations. They derive authority from consequentialist frameworks rather than from objective moral principles. Legal utilitarianism, legal positivism, and legal realism all share the consequentialist premise that law's legitimacy and content depend on outcomes, power, or social construction rather than on conformity to natural-moral law. This consequentialist foundation renders modern legal systems philosophically incoherent, fundamentally arbitrary, and practically unjust.

Utilitarian jurisprudence evaluates law by its social consequences, typically around efficiency, aggregate welfare, or economic productivity. Legal positivism, the core of modern legal systems, locates the validity of law in its formal enactment and enforceability, not in its moral content. Materialist approaches reduce legal norms to expressions of material conditions, class interests, or power structures. Though distinct in emphasis, these frameworks share a consequentialist logic: law derives its legitimacy from perceived utility, institutional authority, or political power rather than from conformity to objective moral truth.

The result is convoluted, unstable, and unjust legal systems. When law is justified by outcomes, then its content shifts with changing circumstances and calculations. When validity rests on enforcement capacity, then legality becomes synonymous with coercive power. When morality is treated as relative and socially constructed, then justice becomes contingent and negotiable.

Under such consequentialist conditions, legal order loses moral coherence and principled restraint. What is lawful too often diverges from what is just.

This philosophical separation of law from moral truth transforms legal systems into instruments of state power, arbitrary governance, and domination rather than guardians of justice, liberty, and peace. Individual rights are dismissed or reduced to policy variables. Exceptions and privileges proliferate under claims of emergency or public necessity. Regulatory repression, confiscatory policies, and surveillance regimes are all rationalized as socially beneficial. Law has become a mechanism for centralized control, exploitation, and management of society, not for protecting inherent human dignity and fostering a structurally just social order.

Consequentialist jurisprudence thus undermines its own legitimacy. By denying objective moral foundations, it causes legal confusion and enables systemic injustice. It cannot explain why unjust laws should be resisted or why certain acts—such as fraud, coercion, and aggression—are wrong regardless of majority support or institutional approval. Justice is reduced to consensus, technical expertise, or political decision, and law becomes vulnerable to manipulation and elite capture.

Africonomics rejects this separation of law from morality. It affirms that legal systems must be grounded in natural-moral law, objective ethical principles that precede political authority. Law is not valid merely because it is enacted or enforced. It is legitimate only insofar as it conforms to truth, justice, and nonaggression, and protects the natural rights of persons. Under the prevailing Western consequentialist and statist paradigm, what is commonly described as the rule of law typically is rule by law—the strategic use of legal mechanisms to consolidate power, expand administrative control, and entrench structural injustice. Formal legality has substituted for moral legitimacy.

Africonomics advances a new, principled standard: not rule by law, but rule of just law. In this framework, legal authority is constrained by objective moral principles. Law exists to protect self-ownership, property rights, voluntary exchange, and peaceful human relations within societies and among nations. It is a shield against arbitrary power, not an instrument of it. Only when law is anchored in natural-moral law can it provide ethical coherence, structural justice, and durable legitimacy. Without that foundation, legal systems become sophisticated tools of control and domination rather than pillars of human dignity and civilizational flourishing.

The Africonomics Refutation: Restoring Objective Morality

Against consequentialism's moral subjectivism, confusion, and dissolution, Africonomics affirms objective morality grounded in natural-moral law—universal ethical principles knowable through reason, rooted in human nature and divine creation, and binding on all persons and institutions regardless of consequences, circumstances, or utilitarian calculations. This refutation is developed in *Restoring Objective Morality: The Africonomics Refutation of Western Consequentialist Philosophies* (Tacanho, 2025), which demonstrates that:

Objective moral truth exists - moral principles are not subjective preferences, cultural constructs, or evolutionary adaptations but features of existence itself, grounded in the nature of human beings as created and rational moral agents, not advanced animals. Right and wrong, just and unjust, good and evil are objective facts about actions and their conformity to universal moral law, not opinions or feelings.

Moral principles are knowable - human beings possess rational capacity to recognize moral truth through conscience, practical reason, and reflection on human nature and the requirements of structural justice and human flourishing. While particular applications may be complex and difficult, core moral principles are accessible to people across cultures—as evidenced by the universal recognition of basic prohibitions against murder, theft, lying, and betrayal.

Moral principles bind categorically - natural-moral law principles (truth, justice, nonaggression, and human dignity) create genuine obligations that hold regardless of consequences, personal preferences, cultural norms, or strategic calculations. Some actions are wrong in themselves, intrinsically evil, and remain wrong even when they might produce beneficial outcomes or be supported by a majority opinion. Torture, deliberately killing innocent people, fraud, rape, and slavery are categorically prohibited, not subject to consequentialist override.

Human dignity grounds inalienable rights - because human beings possess inherent dignity as created and rational moral agents, they have inalienable rights that cannot be legitimately violated even to serve collective welfare. These rights are not granted by government, culture, or social contract but belong to individuals by virtue of their human nature. They constrain what may be done to people even when violations would maximize aggregate utility.

These principles are not consequentialist rules of thumb subject to dismissal or violation when outcomes warrant or when political power so wishes, but binding universal moral truths. They provide categorical moral standards that protect human dignity, liberty, and agency from being

sacrificed to utilitarian calculations or subordinated to arbitrary power.

Natural-moral law is grounded in philosophical coherence and objective reality, providing a stable civilizational foundation for a structurally just, peaceful, and prosperous social order. Consequentialist frameworks, which are subjective, arbitrary, and fundamentally flawed, cannot provide such a foundation. Natural-moral law provides moral clarity where consequentialist ethics generates confusion; it provides a stable foundation for institutional design, legitimacy, and operation, whereas consequentialist ethics leads to arbitrary governance, institutional corruption, and autocratic systems. It grounds international relations in justice, nonaggression, and respect for sovereignty, avoiding the perpetual conflict caused by power politics and might-makes-right logic.

Systems built on consequentialist foundations contain internal contradictions that ultimately lead to their collapse. Systemic deception and manipulation erode the trust necessary for cooperation and prosperity. Violating rights generates resistance, which requires escalating coercion and repression. Technocratic management of society results in systemic corruption and dysfunction as secondary effects accumulate—the moral corruption of elites who justify self-serving actions as benefiting the public further compounds the issue. As populations begin to recognize that the claimed benefits serve power rather than justice and prosperity, the legitimacy of these systems erodes. Additionally, the lack of principled constraints on power enables a descent into tyranny, causing even more problems and ultimately leading to collapse.

The historical record shows that societies that operate more consistently aligned with natural-moral law principles—such as respect for individual rights, limited government, the rule of law, honest money, and voluntary exchange—achieve greater prosperity. In contrast, societies that adopt consequentialist frameworks produce dysfunction and decay proportional to their departure from moral standards.

Conclusion

Consequentialist ethics underpins the intellectual and institutional structures of the Western paradigm. By dissolving intrinsic moral constraints, subordinating human dignity to aggregate calculations, and enabling rationalization of any action through appeal to outcomes, consequentialism produces the systemic corruption, injustice, exploitation, violence, and dehumanization that characterize the modern Western order.

Consequentialism is the philosophical foundation of modern barbarism; not barbarism of

primitiveness, but of refinement without moral foundation. That is, sophisticated barbarism—social, economic, and political systems that achieve high levels of material advancement, technological capability, and institutional complexity while operating on fundamentally anti-human premises and the systematic violation of natural-moral law principles. Such systems employ refined tools, elaborate organizational structures, and complex mechanisms to facilitate domination, exploitation, and violence that would be immediately recognized as barbaric if practiced through crude or direct means.

Only by rejecting consequentialism and restoring objective morality grounded in natural-moral law can societies establish the philosophical foundations necessary for a structurally just, peaceful, and civilized order. This reconstruction is not an optional improvement but an existential necessity—the prerequisite for human dignity and civilizational flourishing. Africonomics provides this reconstruction, articulating a natural-moral law framework applicable to all domains of human life, including economics, governance, and international relations.

Modern barbarism is not institutional or technological backwardness; it is philosophical incoherence and moral corruption; the replacement of principle with calculation. Therefore, the recovery of civilization begins with the recovery of objective morality.

3. Statism: The Institutionalization of Coercion

Defining Statism

Statism is the political-economic system characterized by the concentration of coercive power in centralized state institutions that claim authority to manage, regulate, and control all dimensions of human life—economic production and exchange, social relations and cultural practices, education and information dissemination, family formation and child-rearing, religious expression, and even biological reproduction and bodily integrity. Statism is the elevation of the state as the supreme arbiter and comprehensive manager of society. The modern state is not one institution among others with limited, defined functions, but the dominant institution that claims supremacy over all others and authority over every domain of human existence.

It is crucial to distinguish statism from the legitimate functions of government. Africonomics does not advocate anarchism or the abolition of governance. Instead, it recognizes that legitimate government has specific, limited roles grounded in natural-moral law: protecting natural rights

from violation (life, liberty, and property), administering justice through impartial courts applying objective legal principles, providing genuine public goods that cannot be supplied through voluntary cooperation (such as national defense against external aggression), and coordinating responses to genuine emergencies that require collective action and large-scale mobilization.

These legitimate functions differ fundamentally from statist expansion in several ways:

Protection vs. Management - legitimate government protects the sphere of voluntary action; statism manages and directs action itself. The government should prevent murder, theft, and fraud—violations of rights. Statism regulates peaceful conduct, mandates specific behaviors, prohibits voluntary exchanges, suppresses free markets, and substitutes bureaucratic command for individual choice and moral agency across nearly all domains.

Rule of Just Law vs. Administrative Discretion - legitimate government functions under clear, general, prospective laws that apply equally to all and constrain the government itself. Statism operates through arbitrary governance and administrative agencies that exercise broad discretionary authority, promulgate detailed regulations, make case-by-case determinations, and combine legislative, executive, and judicial functions in ways that violate the separation of powers and enable arbitrary rule. Statist systems are structurally violent and fundamentally autocratic, including those that maintain democratic procedures.

Limited and Enumerated Powers vs. Comprehensive Authority - legitimate government possesses only specific powers necessary for its protective functions. Statism claims inherent authority over any matter it deems relevant to public welfare, limited only by political constraints rather than principled boundaries.

Voluntary Funding vs. Compulsory Extraction - legitimate government functions could be funded through voluntary contributions or user fees. While some degree of taxation may be necessary, it must be minimal, transparent, and strictly limited to truly public purposes. Statism depends on massive compulsory extraction through confiscatory taxation, fiat monetary manipulation, and regulatory repression and exactions that transfer wealth from the productive majority to government and politically connected interests.

The modern state has far exceeded any plausible definition of legitimate government. Western nations—and most nations globally that have adopted Western institutional models—are comprehensive statist systems where the government claims and exercises authority over virtually every aspect of human life. The United States, despite constitutional limits designed to constrain

its government, has long evolved into a heavily statist system through expansive interpretation of enumerated powers, administrative agency proliferation, and judicial abandonment of constitutional protections.

The scope of modern statism encompasses economic management, social engineering, educational and information control, surveillance, and security apparatus. This enumeration could be extended, but the pattern is clear: modern states claim and exercise comprehensive authority over all aspects of human life, from cradle to grave.

This is statism—the institutionalization of coercion as the organizing principle of social order. It involves state domination of society, creating mechanisms that lead to human enslavement and the subordination of people to arbitrary power.

In essence, statism is institutionalized violence, structural injustice, and systemic negation of human dignity, liberty, and agency.

The Myth of Western Capitalism

A crucial obstacle to recognizing the statist nature of modern Western economies is the widespread belief that these systems represent capitalism or free markets. This belief is not only mistaken but inverted: contemporary Western economies are comprehensively statist systems that are closer to socialism than to actual free-market capitalism grounded in voluntary exchange, sound money, and property rights.

This analysis is developed in *The Myth of Modern Capitalism: Why the Western Economic Order Is Statist, Not Free-Market* (Tacanho, 2026), which demonstrates through detailed examination that Western economies—including the United States, long considered the epitome of capitalism—are actually heavily statist systems that operate closer to socialism than to a free-market capitalist order. Western nations are located between Stage 3 (mixed economy) and Stage 4 (economic fascism) on the Scale of Statism, with some drifting toward Stage 5 (democratic socialism).

The conflation of statism with capitalism serves multiple ideological functions:

Misdirecting criticism - when statist policies produce systemic dysfunction, such as boom-bust cycles, financial crises, inequality, poverty, instability, and corruption, critics attribute these failures to capitalism or free markets rather than to the statist interventions that are actually responsible. This misdirection protects the state and statism from accountability while delegitimizing actual market alternatives.

Legitimizing further state intervention - when problems are blamed on capitalism, the proposed solution is naturally more government intervention, usually additional regulation, expanded spending, and increased centralized control. This ratchet effect progressively expands statism under the belief that it is correcting market failures, when in fact it is compounding government failures.

Obscuring alternatives - by framing existing statist systems as capitalist, the discourse forecloses consideration of actual free-market alternatives. Proposals for sound money, deregulation, privatization, or limited government are dismissed as “more of what caused the problems” when these elements are largely absent from current systems.

Manufacturing false choice - public debate becomes confined to variations within statism—more redistribution or less, which industries to favor, and how to manage the economy, rather than questioning whether state management itself is desirable or necessary. The possibility of voluntary cooperation through markets without state direction is no longer considered.

Actual free-market capitalism would entail sound money, genuine property rights, voluntary exchange, strictly limited government, and meaningful monetary and economic freedom. These elements are almost entirely absent from modern Western socioeconomic systems.

Western nations operate a fiat currency system with the central bank manipulating money supply and interest rates; modern economies function under vast regulatory apparatus that control virtually every dimension of economic life; modern states extract 30-50% of GDP through taxation—income taxes, payroll taxes, corporate taxes, excise taxes, property taxes, estate taxes, sales taxes, and other taxes; far from free, labor markets function under extensive regulation; international trade operates under tariffs, quotas, trade agreements, sanctions, export controls, and political manipulation rather than actual free trade; and banking, securities, insurance, and other financial services function under comprehensive regulation and centralized control.

Understanding that modern Western socioeconomic systems are heavily statist, not capitalist, is essential for accurate diagnosis and effective prescription. The systemic corruption, dysfunction, instability, inequality, injustice, and crises that characterize these systems flow from their statist nature, not from market failure. Recovery and flourishing require rejection of statism in favor of a structurally just, peaceful, and civilized socioeconomic order grounded in natural-moral law, property rights, sound money, free markets, and voluntary cooperation.

The State as Economic Manager

The most visible and economically consequential manifestation of modern statism is the government's appropriation of comprehensive economic management authority. This is a fundamental departure from legitimate protective functions and constitutes a systematic violation of natural-moral law principles.

Central banking is the core of modern economic statism and exemplifies how consequentialist logic enables systemic injustice and dispossession through monetary debasement. Every major economy operates under a central bank—an institution that wields monopoly power over money creation and interest rate determination, operates with minimal democratic accountability, and systematically manipulates monetary conditions to achieve policy objectives. Central banks, particularly the U.S. Federal Reserve, underwrite the entire statist system.

Beyond fiat monetary manipulation, modern states directly manage economies through fiscal policy, involving massive taxation, spending, borrowing, and redistribution schemes that transfer resources from the productive majority to the government and politically favored groups.

The scale of fiscal intervention and aggression is staggering. Modern states extract 30-50% of GDP through various forms of taxation, including:

- *Income taxes* - progressive rates that in many cases confiscate up to 50% of earnings.
- *Payroll taxes* - more than 15% of wages fund Social Security and Medicare.
- *Corporate taxes* - significant portions of business income are diverted to the government.
- *Consumption taxes* - sales taxes, VAT, and excise taxes on specific goods.
- *Property taxes* - annual levies on real estate and personal property.
- *Estate taxes* - confiscation of wealth at death.
- *Tariffs and duties* - taxes on international trade.
- *Regulatory costs* - hidden taxation through compliance requirements and dispossession through cartelized sectors.

Combined, these taxes confiscate vast portions of national income, constituting comprehensive state control over economic life and resources. The government then spends these funds according to political priorities rather than market preferences or voluntary agreements across numerous sectors of the economy. This level of extraction and spending represents comprehensive state management of the economy. Resources are allocated according to political decisions rather than market prices and preferences. The result is systemic

misallocation, waste, corruption, and subordination of economic activity to political objectives.

Modern states also exercise comprehensive control over economic life through a vast regulatory apparatus supposedly designed to protect consumers, workers, and public safety. In practice, regulatory systems function primarily to maintain centralized state control, entrench incumbent firms, restrict competition, transfer wealth to politically connected interests, and expand state power.

These regulatory systems employ millions of government workers, cost trillions in compliance expenses, and shape virtually every aspect of the economy. Far from the limited protective functions that might be legitimate, modern regulation is extensive, intrusive, and repressive, enabling comprehensive state control and management of economic activity.

The State as Social Engineer

Modern statism goes beyond economic management to encompass extensive social engineering. This involves deliberate attempts to influence family structures, cultural practices, demographic makeup, social relations, and individual conduct in accordance with government preferences. Such actions constitute an egregious violation of human agency and the natural-moral order of society.

Government control of education is one of the most effective tools of social engineering. In Western nations, most children attend government-operated schools, where the curriculum, teaching methods, values, and worldview are dictated by the state, rather than by parents or communities. Even private schools are not free from state control and often align their curricula with state interests.

The scope of educational statism:

- *Compulsory attendance* - children are legally required to attend school (government or approved alternatives) for approximately 12 years.
- *Government operation* - the vast majority of schools are directly operated by the government with taxpayer funding.
- *Curriculum control* - state authorities determine what must be taught, what interpretations are presented, and what texts are used.
- *Credentialing monopoly* - government-approved degrees and certifications are required for most professions.
- *University subsidization* - massive government funding of higher education through direct

appropriations, research grants, and student loans.

- *Ideological homogenization* - educational institutions are dominated by state-serving ideological perspectives, enforced through accreditation, funding, and social pressure.

Modern states systematically intervene in family formation and reproduction through tax policy, welfare programs, regulations, and direct mandates to engineer demographic outcomes and social structures that serve state goals. The results are catastrophic: family breakdown, children raised without fathers, the elderly without family support, demographic collapse in so-called developed nations, loss of intergenerational cultural transmission, and populations increasingly dependent on the state rather than family for support and identity.

Beyond economic and social policy domains, modern states also engage in cultural engineering—deliberate efforts to shape social norms, values, behaviors, and identities in line with government preferences and ideological commitments. These include media regulation and influence, arts and culture funding, public health campaigns, and social engineering through welfare and incentives.

The Welfare-Warfare State

A particularly revealing feature of modern statism is the intimate connection between welfare programs and the military empire, that is, the welfare-warfare state. This is not a coincidence but a logical integration: domestic pacification through dependency and external aggression, funded by internal extraction, mutually reinforce each other to expand comprehensive state power.

Domestic pacification through dependency - comprehensive welfare systems create populations dependent on the state for basic needs—food, housing, healthcare, income, and retirement. This dependency creates political constituencies that support state expansion (beneficiaries) and undermines resistance (those dependent on the state cannot effectively resist state tyranny). The result is a domestic population largely pacified and controlled; not through crude repression but through material dependency and psychological identification with the state as provider.

External aggression funded by internal extraction - the vast resources required for a global military empire and permanent war come from massive extraction from the domestic economy through confiscatory taxation, borrowing, and fiat inflation. The welfare state facilitates this extraction by making populations dependent and compliant, while the warfare state provides justification (fictitious or exaggerated security threats) for maintaining high extraction levels and extensive state power.

Mutual reinforcement - welfare and warfare reinforce each other in a self-perpetuating cycle:

- War generates fear and insecurity, justifying both military spending and domestic programs to “support our troops” and address “wartime sacrifices.”
- Welfare dependency reduces resistance to war by creating populations more concerned with maintaining benefits than questioning foreign policy.
- Both welfare and warfare expand state power, bureaucracy, and control over society.
- Industries that benefit from military spending (defense contractors and subcontractors) and welfare spending (healthcare, education, and social services) lobby for continuation and expansion.
- Economic stimulus from military and welfare spending creates the appearance of prosperity, masking underlying extraction, misallocation, corruption, and gradual impoverishment.

The welfare-warfare state emerged most fully in the 20th century as states discovered that combining generous domestic programs with aggressive foreign policy enabled unprecedented expansion of state power. Franklin Roosevelt’s New Deal programs, combined with World War II mobilization, established the template. Subsequent decades saw the progressive expansion of both welfare (the Great Society, Medicare, Medicaid) and warfare (the Cold War, interventions worldwide, the War on Terror). Western states maintain this integration: extensive welfare systems combined with global military presence and perpetual warfare.

Modern Western states, especially the United States, have economies that are structured around continuous military mobilization and permanent warfare. This permanent war economy represents a significant departure from traditional governance, in which military mobilization occurred only during actual wars, and peacetime involved maintaining minimal military forces.

The welfare-warfare state represents mature statism where domestic control and foreign aggression integrate to enable comprehensive domination domestically and globally.

Statism and the Concentration of Power

A fundamental feature of statism—and a primary reason it produces structural injustice—is the concentration of dangerous levels of power in centralized institutions that operate beyond genuine accountability.

Why concentrated power corrupts and destroys:

The knowledge problem - no individuals or institutions possess sufficient knowledge to centrally manage complex social systems. Economies involve billions of transactions, driven by local knowledge, changing preferences, and contextual factors that central planners cannot know. Societies involve organic development of culture, norms, and institutions that emerge from countless individual interactions rather than from central design. Attempting to manage such systems centrally requires presuming knowledge that cannot exist, leading inevitably to systemic errors, dysfunction, and destruction.

The incentive problem - those who exercise state power face incentives misaligned with social welfare. Politicians seek reelection, not truth or justice. Bureaucrats seek to expand agencies and their budgets, not to serve efficiently. Regulators seek prestige and post-government employment, making them susceptible to capture by industries they regulate. None bear direct costs for their errors, while all benefit from expanded state power and control. The result is a systematic bias toward models and policies that serve state power and those who exercise it rather than those that are subject to it.

The calculation problem - without market prices that reflect actual scarcity and preferences, productive economic calculation becomes essentially impossible. State management cannot determine efficient allocation of resources because it lacks the price signals that coordinate markets and voluntary exchange. The result is systemic misallocation, waste, and failure to satisfy preferences and even basic needs.

The corruption problem - power attracts the power-hungry. Those most motivated to seek political power are often those least suited to exercise it. Selection effects ensure that politics attracts narcissists, sociopaths, and the unscrupulous, willing to compromise principles for position. Combined with state power's tendency to corrupt even well-intentioned individuals, this produces ruling classes characterized by arrogance, self-dealing, and contempt for those they govern. Statism invariably generates corrupt and corrupting economic, social, and political structures.

The tyranny problem - once established, concentrated power resists constraints and tends to expand. Temporary emergency powers become permanent, and exceptional measures often turn into routine practices. Agencies that were initially created for specific purposes broaden their missions. This leads to increased regulations, larger budgets, larger budget deficits, and accumulating debt, along with confiscatory taxation and extractive inflation. The government rarely, if ever, voluntarily relinquishes authority or reduces its size. The ratchet effect operates in

the direction of increased state power and control. As time passes and problems accumulate, statist systems become more tyrannical—meaning they become more arbitrary, coercive, and repressive.

Limited government does not remain limited under consequentialist and statist logic. Constitutional attempts to limit government through enumerated powers, separation of powers, federalism, and rights protections have systematically failed wherever consequentialist philosophy and statist logic prevail. The United States provides an instructive example of this pattern. Despite having one of the most carefully designed frameworks for limiting government, it has evolved into a comprehensive administrative and autocratic state, exercising vast and arbitrary powers across all domains of American society. This has resulted in an increasing concentration of political and economic power.

Only a natural-moral law framework that recognizes objective ethical principles and upholds natural rights can provide principled limits on government power and tyranny. Yet such a framework is incompatible with statism, which involves centralized authority to manage society according to elite preferences.

The Structural Violence of Statist Systems

Modern statism operates through systemic violence; not primarily through overt brutality, but through structural coercion embedded in institutions and normalized by law, ideology, and social habit. This violence is procedural, bureaucratic, and often invisible, yet no less real. Recognizing it is essential to understanding the fundamentally coercive and exploitative nature of statist systems, despite their scientific language and veneer of sophistication.

As demonstrated in *The Structural Violence of Socialist Systems: An Africonomics Refutation of Socialism and Coercive Governance* (Tacanho, 2026), statist orders institutionalize coercion through mechanisms presented as routine governance.

Taxation, for example, is compulsory extraction of property enforced under threat of penalty. Though legally sanctioned, it operates on the same underlying logic as confiscation: compliance is not voluntary. Fiat monetary expansion functions as indirect expropriation through currency debasement and artificial credit creation, redistributing wealth without transparent consent. Comprehensive regulatory regimes impose restrictions on peaceful activity, subordinating voluntary conduct to centralized permission. These practices are typically framed as necessary instruments of public policy, but their defining feature is coercion. Participation is mandatory,

and dissent carries sanctions.

The problem with statism is therefore not merely inefficiency, corruption, or policy failure—though such defects are common. *The fundamental issue with statism is institutionalized violence and structural injustice.* Statist systems are based on centralized authority empowered to override individual agency, redistribute property, and direct social and economic life through force-backed mandates. This makes such systems inherently coercive, confiscatory, and repressive, even when administered with technocratic sophistication.

Statist systems differ in intensity, but not in principle. All rely on coercion as a primary organizing mechanism. Over time, they display a consistent tendency toward centralization, bureaucratic expansion, and increased repressive control, as each intervention causes new distortions that justify further intervention. Hence, under the prevailing statist–fiat paradigm, people are systematically dispossessed—not only of income and wealth through taxation and monetary manipulation, but of autonomy, liberty, and dignity. Agency is replaced with compliance, and voluntary cooperation is supplanted by administrative command.

Structural violence does not always appear dramatic. It operates insidiously through regulation, currency policy, licensing regimes, surveillance systems, and administrative decrees. Yet its cumulative effect is profoundly unethical: the systematic subordination of human beings to arbitrary power.

Statism is not merely a flawed model of governance; it is a system of institutionalized violence, systematic exploitation, and structural injustice. It is maintained by law, justified by consequentialist ethics, and perpetuated through habitual practices. Exposing the structural violence inherent in statist systems is essential to institutional transformation toward a structurally just, civilized, and prosperous social order.

Statism as State Domination and Human Enslavement

As articulated in *The Scale of Statism: A Principled Framework for Ranking Socioeconomic Systems* (Tacanho, 2025), modern nation-states, whether labeled capitalist, democratic, or socialist, operate according to a shared structural logic: statism. Beneath ideological labels lies the same organizing principle—the concentration of coercive power in a centralized institution that claims the right to rule, tax, regulate, license, surveil, control, and manage social life.

Statism is not defined by rhetoric but by structure. It exists wherever political authority is granted supremacy over human life and relations. Whether expressed through parliamentary

democracy or overt authoritarianism, its defining feature is the institutionalization of coercion as the primary mechanism of coordination and social order.

This control extends far beyond overt political repression. Through fiat monetary systems, debt-based financial structures, compulsory taxation, regulatory regimes, surveillance infrastructures, and centralized education systems, the state shapes the boundaries within which individuals may act. It asserts the authority to grant or withdraw permission for economic production, mobility, association, and expression. Participation in society is conditional upon compliance.

In this way, natural rights are transformed into state-administered privileges. Liberty is no longer inherent but contingent. Individuals are dependent on centralized authorization for activities that, under natural-moral law, require no permission. Dependence fosters compliance, and compliance stabilizes domination.

Statism reorders the natural-moral architecture of society by subordinating people to institutional hierarchy and arbitrary power. Individuals cease to be sovereign moral agents and become subjects within a coercively administered system. The degree of state domination varies along the Scale of Statism—from mixed economies (Stage 3) with bureaucratic expansion to overt Marxist-Leninist regimes (Stage 6)—but the underlying principle remains constant: centralized power and control supersedes voluntary, peaceful order.

This domination flows logically from consequentialist foundations. When moral principles are dissolved or subordinated to outcomes, centralized authority fills the vacuum in pursuit of stability, growth, equality, or security. Philosophical materialism, mechanistic rationalism, and moral relativism converge to produce state-centered systems that treat human society as a mechanical system to be centrally managed. In such systems, coercion is normalized, exploitation is institutionalized, and repression is rationalized as a necessity.

The Western statist order, often mischaracterized as free-market capitalism, embodies this paradigm. Despite the superficial language of rights and markets, it is a heavily statist system that operates through fiat currency manipulation, regulatory command, fiscal extraction, political privilege, and militarism. Its ideological and institutional structures are designed to preserve power, control, and hierarchy rather than promote justice, dignity, and peaceful flourishing. The appearance of procedural legality and democracy conceals the institutionalized violence, systemic repression, structural injustice, and arbitrary rule.

Under this consequentialist paradigm, state domination is comprehensive. Wealth is transferred and concentrated upward through monetary debasement, taxation, and regulation. Economic liberty and agency are constrained through licensing and compliance regimes. Cultural narratives are shaped through centralized education, ideological enforcement, and media narratives. And surveillance systems deepen administrative reach and control. The cumulative effect is the erosion of autonomy and the normalization of dependence.

Statism is the institutionalization of coercion as the organizing principle of society and the machinery through which human beings are subordinated to arbitrary and totalizing power. Its imposition of centralized coercive control over economic and social life egregiously violates natural-moral law and negates structural justice, human dignity, and genuine prosperity.

Africonomics refutes the Western statist paradigm. Grounded in natural-moral law, it affirms that human beings are moral agents endowed with inherent rights that precede the state. Authority is legitimate only insofar as it protects natural individual rights, not when it overrides them. Social order must arise from voluntary cooperation, sound money, and decentralized responsibility—not from centralized domination.

To identify statism as state domination and human enslavement is not rhetorical excess; it is analytical and moral clarity. A society organized around coercion, repression, and exploitation cannot produce genuine dignity, liberty, prosperity, or peace. To break the cycle and foster structurally just, free, and dignified societies, the consequentialist-statist paradigm must be replaced with a natural-moral social order.

Conclusion

Modern statism constitutes a systematic and comprehensive violation of natural-moral law principles.

Statist systems *violate property rights* through taxation, inflation, regulation, and eminent domain; *violate nonaggression* through systemic coercion, regulatory prohibition of peaceful conduct, conscription, and prosecution of victimless crime; *violate truth* through propaganda, systematic deception about policies and their effects, and fiat monetary fraud; *violate human dignity* through treatment of individuals as means to collective ends, denial of autonomy, and comprehensive social engineering; and *violate voluntary exchange* through mandated transactions, prohibited exchanges, price controls, regulatory barriers, and centrally (politically) managed markets.

These violations are not incidental or correctable through better management. They are

inherent to statism, the necessary means by which comprehensive state control operates. Attempts to achieve statist objectives (economic management, social engineering, wealth redistribution, and cultural transformation) without violating natural-moral law are impossible because these objectives require continuous coercion, repression, and manipulation.

The consequentialist justifications offered for statism—that it serves collective welfare, promotes equality, provides security, and manages complex systems—cannot overcome the fundamental injustice and violence of the means employed. As demonstrated in section 2, consequentialism itself is morally bankrupt, unable to provide coherent ethics or justify rights violations. But even accepting consequentialism's premises, statism fails by its own standards, as it does not deliver the promised benefits but instead causes systemic dysfunction, corruption, inequality, tyranny, and instability.

The Africonomics alternative requires rejecting statism entirely in favor of a structurally just, peaceful, and civilized socioeconomic order grounded in natural-moral law, property rights, sound money, free markets, and voluntary cooperation. Only such an order respects human dignity, protects rights, and enables genuine prosperity.

4. Darwinian Anthropology: The Animalization of Human Relations

The Darwinian Worldview and Its Philosophical Foundations

Darwinian anthropology—the reduction of human beings to advanced animals produced by random evolutionary processes and governed by survival imperatives—constitutes the third foundational pillar of the Western paradigm. This animalistic conception of human nature is not a theory among others but a comprehensive worldview that fundamentally shapes how individuals, societies, and institutions are understood and organized under the Western paradigm. When combined with consequentialism and statism, Darwinian anthropology completes an integrated system that necessarily produces domination, dehumanization, and barbarism.

Darwinian anthropology rests on philosophical materialism, the claim that reality consists solely of matter and energy that operate according to physical laws, with no immaterial dimension, spiritual realm, objective morality, or transcendent purpose. Within this framework, all phenomena are reduced to material processes. Consciousness, thought, emotion, moral

experience, aesthetic appreciation, and faith or religious intuition—all are epiphenomena of brain states, themselves produced by electrochemical processes determined by prior physical causes. There is no soul, no spiritual dimension, no aspect of human existence irreducible to material explanation.

In the materialist framework, there is no teleology or purpose. The universe and everything in it, including humans, exists without inherent purpose or design. Things happen because of efficient causes (prior physical events), not final causes (purposes or goals). Evolution operates through random mutation and dialectical selection, not toward any goal. Human existence is seen as a cosmic accident, meaningful only in the subjective sense of individuals creating their own purposes.

Philosophical materialism and determinism did not originate with Charles Darwin; they have roots in ancient atomism, were revived in the mechanistic rationalism of ‘the Enlightenment,’ and achieved dominance through 19th-century scientism. Yet evolutionary theory provided materialist philosophy with what appeared to be scientific validation. Darwin’s account of species origins through natural selection offered a mechanism that explains biological complexity without recourse to theist design or purpose, appearing to complete the materialist project of explaining everything without God, soul, or transcendent reality.

Darwinian anthropology denies human exceptionalism, that is, the recognition that humans fundamentally differ from animals and other creatures in ways that cannot be reduced to advanced versions of animal capacities. The denial of human exceptionalism is not incidental but essential to the Western Darwinian project of animalizing humanity within a materialist framework.

Nonetheless, humans are fundamentally different from animals. What human exceptionalism affirms is that humans possess capacities and characteristics that set them apart categorically from other living creatures. These include rational insight, logical reasoning, moral consciousness, ethical discernment, free will, genuine choice, self-reflective consciousness, creative imagination, long-term planning, methodical action, productive enterprise, religious and spiritual experience, among other characteristics.

These characteristics, taken together, demonstrate that humans are not merely evolved animals but beings of fundamentally and qualitatively different nature—rational, moral, spiritual, and purposive creatures whose existence cannot be fully accounted for through materialist, determinist, or evolutionary explanations. The Western denial of human exceptionalism requires

either explaining these characteristics away as illusions or reducing them to advanced forms of animal behavior. Yet both strategies fail to account for the reality and philosophical implications of human consciousness and inherent moral capacity.

Social Darwinism: The Application of Evolutionary Logic to Society

Social Darwinism is the explicit extension of evolutionary theory into the realm of human society and political order. Although the term is often used loosely, and Darwin himself did not advocate all positions later associated with it, the Social Darwinist tradition flows logically from evolutionary premises about human nature. It exemplifies the practical consequences of adopting Darwinian anthropology. When human beings are understood as products of random mutations and evolutionary struggle, social and political life is reinterpreted through the same animalistic competitive lens.

Social Darwinism applies core evolutionary concepts to social organization:

Survival of the fittest is adopted as a social principle - if biological development occurs through violent competition in which the fittest prevail, then social development is likewise framed as a contest among individuals, classes, races, and nations. Strength, adaptability, and dominance become markers of legitimacy. The success of the powerful is interpreted as evidence of superior fitness; the decline of the weak is viewed as natural and even necessary.

Violent competition is seen as an engine of progress, framed as part of the evolutionary struggle for survival. Economic rivalry is believed to enhance innovation, political competition is thought to refine institutions, military conflict is viewed as a means to strengthen nations, and reproductive selection is considered a way to improve genetic stock. Conflict is not just tolerated; it is celebrated as a key force behind historical advancement and a pathway to domination. When cooperation is recognized, it is often justified merely as a strategy for collective survival, rather than as a moral obligation rooted in human dignity.

Natural hierarchy refers to the idea that evolution leads to differentiation and hierarchy in the biological world. When applied to human society, this concept has been used to argue that hierarchies among races, cultures, classes, and nations reflect inherent differences in evolutionary development or fitness. In this animalistic view, dominance is perceived as a natural occurrence rather than an injustice. Power disparities are seen not as moral failures, but as expressions of biological reality. According to this logic, rule by the strong is not considered oppression but an evolutionary inevitability.

Evolution as replacement for transcendent morality - evolutionary ethics displaces traditional moral frameworks rooted in natural law or divine commandment. Actions are deemed good insofar as they enhance survival, power, and reproductive success; bad insofar as they diminish them. Moral norms are reduced to adaptive strategies while objective truths are denied. Even cooperation and restraint are justified not because they are intrinsically right, but because they strengthen group competitiveness. When adopted as a social philosophy, this framework transforms the moral landscape. Human beings are animalized; society becomes a field of managed competition rather than principled cooperation; and power acquires biological legitimacy.

The historical consequences have been profound. Social Darwinist reasoning influenced colonial expansion, racial hierarchy doctrines, eugenics movements, militarism, and the normalization of aggression and perpetual rivalry. Military power, conquest, and the brutalization of others were interpreted as expressions of evolutionary progress. And hierarchical global order was framed as the natural outcome of differential fitness.

Although contemporary discourse rarely explicitly invokes Social Darwinism, its assumptions remain embedded in strategic thought, economic theory, and international relations. The notion that relentless competition produces superiority, that hierarchy reflects inherent fitness, and that domination signals legitimacy remains firmly embedded in policy frameworks that prioritize racial hierarchy and hegemony.

The danger of animalistic anthropology is heightened in today's world. When human relations are interpreted through a survivalist lens, and when nations have access to weapons of unprecedented destructive capacity, like nuclear bombs, the logic of perpetual rivalry and conflict poses an existential threat to humanity. A worldview that sees conflict as natural and domination as progress normalizes violence and barbarism—and, in the nuclear era, risks catastrophic annihilation.

The application of evolutionary logic to society legitimizes and perpetuates conflict. By reducing moral agents to competitive beasts, Social Darwinism dissolves the ethical foundations necessary for civilized order and peaceful flourishing. In doing so, it lays the anthropological groundwork for the logic of domination that continues to shape modern global politics.

The Denial of Moral Agency and Shift to Competitive Beasts

The most profound and destructive consequence of Darwinian anthropology is its denial of moral agency—the human capacity to know moral truth, freely choose among alternatives, and

bear responsibility for genuine choices. This denial flows from materialist and determinist premises and has devastating implications for human dignity, justice, and social order. It is the core of the Western animalization of human nature and relations, as it negates humans as beings with inherent ethical discernment and responsibility, reducing them to merely evolved animals.

The shift from moral agents to competitive beasts marks a fundamental transformation: from natural law to evolutionary struggle, from responsibility to instinct, and from moral order to managed conflict.

Within the Darwinian framework, human conduct is primarily understood as the result of evolutionary forces such as adaptation, survival, reproduction, and genetic competition. Social and moral norms are seen as adaptive strategies rather than as reflections of objective moral reality. Conscience is reinterpreted as evolutionary conditioning, while moral deliberation is viewed as a neurochemical process shaped by survival pressures. Choice is understood as a deterministic outcome influenced by genetics, environment, and material factors. From this perspective, struggle for survival and dominance—not justice and cooperation—is the fundamental dynamic of life.

Yet the Western denial of human moral agency is philosophically incoherent and civilizationally devastating. Human beings are rational and moral agents capable of recognizing objective moral truths, freely choosing among alternatives, and bearing responsibility for their actions. Moral order was not imposed from outside but grounded in human moral nature.

The reality of moral experience is objectively true. Human beings universally experience themselves as accountable agents. They deliberate about right and wrong, good and evil, just and unjust. People experience guilt when they violate their conscience and moral satisfaction when they act rightly. They recognize the availability of genuine alternatives. To dismiss this universal moral experience as illusion destabilizes not only ethics but all forms of reasoning, including scientific reasoning itself.

Human practices, such as praise, blame, law, justice, education, or entrepreneurship, presuppose real agency. People hold one another accountable because they know that choice is real, so one could have acted otherwise. Even the act of argumentation presupposes rational freedom, as one offers reasons, expecting the audience to respond to those reasons rather than being mechanically compelled. Denial of moral agency contradicts the very structure of rational discourse.

The Western, more blatantly Darwinian, denial of moral agency is not a scientifically demonstrated truth but a philosophical interpretation shaped by prior commitment to materialism and other consequentialist frameworks. In other words, the Western negation of human moral nature is an ideological commitment, not a scientific truth. It can and should be rejected in favor of recognizing that humans are in fact moral agents capable of knowing the truth, choosing among alternatives, and bearing responsibility for their choices.

Restoring accurate anthropology is crucial because when moral agency is denied, rivalry, conflict, and barbarism become normalized. If humans are fundamentally survival-driven organisms, then violent competition for dominance appears natural and inevitable. Racial and social hierarchies are interpreted as evolutionary expressions. And perpetual conflict is seen not as a deviation from moral order but as the essence of existence.

The animalistic conception of human nature has far-reaching implications. Human dignity is undermined; liberty is reinterpreted as conditional; responsibility erodes; and justice loses coherence. If individuals are products of material conditioning rather than agents with ethical discernment and responsibility, then comprehensive social engineering appears justified. Centralized management of society appears necessary, as individuals are portrayed as incapable of self-governance, and moral order is not recognized. This logic has historically underpinned authoritarian and totalitarian regimes that treated human beings as statistical units, raw material, and cogs in state machinery.

The denial of moral agency lays the ground for coercive governance, arbitrary rule, and systemic exploitation. It dissolves the philosophical foundation for rights, as rights presuppose moral persons. It destabilizes justice because justice presupposes agency and accountability. It invites structural injustice, because power fills the vacuum created by the absence of moral truth. Indeed, the coercive, arbitrary, and structurally violent nature of the Western order reflects this fundamental philosophical incoherence.

Africonomics rejects Darwinian anthropology categorically. Unlike other creatures, human beings possess the capacity to discern right from wrong, to recognize truth, and to act in accordance with moral principles. This shared moral awareness establishes a universal standard for justice and sets humans apart from other living creatures. Human beings are not competitive beasts driven solely by biological and survival impulses; they are moral agents capable of truth, self-restraint, and principled cooperation.

Theories that do not recognize human moral nature are fundamentally flawed even as they

present themselves as scientifically rigorous. They degrade human dignity, enable structural violence, and legitimize domination. When societies adopt a Darwinian anthropology that negates moral agency, they move toward coercion, centralized control, and sophisticated barbarism. When they affirm moral agency, they open the possibility of structural justice, human dignity, and civilized order.

Darwinian Anthropology and Scientific Racism

Another destructive application of Darwinian anthropology was its deployment in the construction of racial hierarchy and scientific racism. While racism predates evolutionary theory, nineteenth-century Darwinian frameworks supplied what appeared to be scientific validation for doctrines of inequality that had previously relied on theological distortion or cultural bias. Evolutionary language transformed prejudice into “biology,” hierarchy into “nature,” and domination into “progress.”

Darwinian anthropology led to the development of elaborate racial classification systems that portrayed human groups as occupying different stages of evolution. Europeans were depicted as the most advanced, while Africans and Indigenous peoples were characterized as more “primitive,” resembling earlier developmental phases. Whether expressed through polygenesis theories or gradualist evolutionary models, these frameworks suggested that racial differences indicated fundamental biological divergence rather than variations within a shared human species.

Measurement became an instrument of racial hierarchy. Craniometry, skull indexing, facial-angle comparisons, and brain-size studies were presented as objective science. In practice, data were selectively interpreted, and methodologies were biased to confirm preexisting ideological assumptions. Intelligence, moral capacity, industriousness, and even civilizational aptitude were attributed to inherited racial traits. Genetic determinism replaced moral and cultural explanations, implying that “inferior” groups could not overcome their supposed limitations, regardless of education or opportunity.

These ranking schemes consistently placed so-called “Caucasians” or “Nordics” at the apex of evolutionary development, with other racial categories positioned beneath them in descending order. Such hierarchies were neither neutral nor accidental; they mirrored imperial power structures and reinforced them with biological justification.

Scientific racism was not an abstract academic exercise; it was an ideological instrument of empire. Colonial expansion across Africa, Asia, and the Americas was framed as the natural

ascendancy of more evolved races over less developed ones. Dehumanization, exploitation, cultural destruction, and violent conquest were rationalized as civilizing missions or inevitable outcomes of evolutionary competition.

The devastation experienced by Indigenous populations in the Americas, Australia, and other regions was often interpreted through the lens of Social Darwinism as the inevitable displacement of the “less fit” by the “more advanced.” Acts of dispossession, massacre, extermination attempts, and demographic collapse were reframed as a form of natural selection. Also, immigration policies in Western countries continue to be shaped by assumptions about racial hierarchy and genetic desirability to this day.

As articulated in *The African Worldview: A Principled and Civilized Vision in Contrast to the Western Aggressive Ethos* (Tacanho, 2025), the fusion of Darwinian evolutionary theory with racial categorization produced one of the most morally abhorrent and practically destructive ideologies of the modern era. Scientific racism emerged as a pseudoscientific enterprise that sought to biologize social hierarchies and legitimize the conquest, domination, and brutalization of human groups. It provided an intellectual basis for colonialism, economic exploitation, segregation, and genocide.

The relationship between Darwinian anthropology and racial hierarchy is not simply a historical accident. When humans are viewed as evolved animals and moral agency is subordinated to biological fitness and endless struggle for survival, hierarchical stratification becomes conceptually feasible. If strength is seen as a sign of advancement, then domination seems natural. Similarly, if struggle is viewed as the driving force behind progress, inequality can be reinterpreted as a sign of evolutionary success.

Although explicit scientific racism has been repudiated in contemporary academic discourse, its structural legacy persists. Global economic disparities, geopolitical hierarchies, and cultural narratives of superiority and inferiority did not arise in a vacuum, nor have they vanished. These issues were shaped by intellectual frameworks that normalized racial ranking and civilizational stratification, and they remain entrenched across the world. In other words, while scientific racism may have largely disappeared, racism itself continues to be systemic, pervasive, and widespread.

The contemporary global order—marked by Western hegemony, power imbalances, extractive economic relations, continuous conflict, and racial animosity—cannot be properly understood without recognizing this intellectual lineage. The ideological and institutional architecture that

once explicitly ranked races and entrenched racism worldwide now often functions more subtly, embedded in development models, governance standards, and geopolitical assumptions.

Africonomics rejects Darwinian anthropology, Social Darwinism, and scientific racism. It affirms the moral equality and inherent dignity of human beings. Human worth is not measured by biological traits, technological advancement, or geopolitical dominance. *Civilization is not the triumph of the strong over the weak, but the institutionalization of justice among moral equals and the integrity of human relations.*

The atrocious legacy of scientific racism demonstrates the dangers of separating anthropology from moral truth. When the concept of evolutionary struggle replaces natural-moral law as the interpretive lens for social relations, racial hierarchies form, and domination acquires a sense of inevitability. Fostering a civilized global order requires a fundamental philosophical reorientation and a categorical rejection of the anthropological premises that historically legitimized racial hierarchy and conflict as “scientific.”

The Destructive Consequences of Darwinian Anthropology

The adoption of Darwinian anthropology has consequences that extend far beyond biology. When human beings are interpreted as products of blind evolutionary processes—advanced animals shaped by survival pressures—the philosophical foundations of justice, dignity, peace, and civilizational flourishing are destabilized.

The destructive consequences include:

Elimination of moral equality - traditional moral and religious frameworks grounded human equality in a shared ontological status, namely that all persons possess inherent dignity by virtue of their humanity. Darwinian anthropology replaces this foundation with a spectrum of evolutionary fitness. As a result, human value becomes associated with capacity, intelligence, productivity, or adaptive advantage, rather than with intrinsic worth. When dignity is separated from inherent status and linked to functional traits, conceptual space opens for ranking human beings. Those deemed more capable, advanced, or “fit” are considered more valuable and thus more human. Consequently, the concept of equality changes from a moral truth to an arbitrary political preference.

Justification of domination - when evolutionary struggle is seen as the engine of progress, domination appears natural rather than unjust. The subjugation of one group by another is reframed as a biological inevitability. Conquest becomes a form of natural selection, and

exploitation is viewed as adaptation. Even atrocious acts of violence, such as genocide or outright extermination, can be rationalized as part of historical advancement. When applied to relations between races, nations, or civilizations, this reasoning transforms aggression into destiny. The phrase “survival of the fittest” shifts from a biological description to a political doctrine. Competition among states is interpreted as an evolutionary struggle, and geopolitical supremacy is seen as evidence of superiority.

Denial of common humanity - by emphasizing differences, competition, and hierarchy, Darwinian racial theories have historically undermined recognition of shared humanity. When human groups are portrayed as fundamentally different in terms of development or ability, universal moral principles become more difficult to recognize. And the understanding that humans are moral equals—regardless of culture, ability, or technological advancement—loses its philosophical foundation. Without recognition of common humanity, structurally just, peaceful, and civilized human relations are untenable.

Consequentialist override - when Darwinian anthropology converges with consequentialist ethics, the result is particularly corrosive and destructive. When human beings are viewed as animalistic organisms and morality is outcome-based, individual rights lose meaning and can be overridden for power, control, or collective advancement. Policies that harm some groups may be justified in the name of evolutionary or civilizational progress. Hierarchy is rationalized; exclusion is strategic; and mass elimination is thinkable.

The loss of moral realism - reducing morality to evolved preferences or social conventions erodes belief in objective moral truths. Right and wrong become adaptive behaviors rather than binding realities. The consequences are profoundly detrimental and include moral confusion and corruption, difficulty in condemning injustice as objectively wrong, fragmentation of shared moral frameworks, and the erosion of moral vocabulary and clarity, as terms lose stable meaning. This situation leads to the prevalence of moral relativism and, ultimately, nihilism. When morality is subjective, arbitrary power fills the resulting vacuum.

Destruction of meaning and purpose - Darwinian materialism also dissolves transcendent meaning. If humans are accidental byproducts of dialectical evolutionary processes, then existence carries no inherent purpose. Meaning must be constructed subjectively against a backdrop of cosmic indifference. For many, this produces existential instability—alienation, despair, and loss of direction. Societies that adopt a purely materialist anthropology often display cultural fragmentation, demographic decline, and erosion of long-term commitment to family and

community. When life is perceived as arbitrary and meaningless, sacrifice for enduring goods is harder to justify.

The denial of human dignity - most fundamentally, Darwinian anthropology undermines inherent human dignity. Dignity cannot coherently be grounded in fluctuating capacities such as intelligence, productivity, or health. Infants, people with disabilities, and the elderly possess equal worth despite lacking certain functional abilities. If dignity rests solely on evolutionary fitness or utility, its universality collapses. Without a transcendent grounding, whether articulated theologically or through natural-moral law, inherent and universal human dignity cannot stand; it becomes a policy preference rather than a binding objective truth.

The implications of the Darwinian denial of human dignity are severe: no principled foundation for universal human rights; justification for eliminating those deemed unfit, inferior, or non-productive; treatment of persons as expendable means to collective ends (statist/technocratic dehumanization); expansion of human experimentation or genetic manipulation; and normalization of redesigning humanity (e.g., transhumanism) for the sake of efficiency or power.

The transhumanist culmination - transhumanism represents the logical extension of the prevailing Western mechanistic-animalistic anthropology. When humans are merely evolved organisms with no fixed nature, no intrinsic dignity, and no fundamental differences from animals, deliberate technological redesign appears rational and beneficial. Genetic engineering, neural augmentation, AI integration, and eventual replacement of biological humanity with posthuman entities become projects of improvement rather than moral transgression. In this trajectory, the human person is no longer an end but a prototype.

Civilizational instability and decay - true civilization depends upon recognition of non-racial, non-hierarchical, inherent human dignity and objective morality. Without these foundations, institutions lose ethical coherence, justice becomes selective, nominal, and negotiable, social trust erodes, and cultural fragmentation accelerates. The symptoms observable in societies that have most thoroughly adopted Darwinian anthropology—such as demographic contraction, family instability, moral relativism, technocratic dehumanization, institutional distrust, and social unrest—are not random anomalies. They reflect underlying anthropological assumptions working themselves out over time.

An animalistic worldview cannot sustain a moral civilization. When human beings are understood as competitors in a perpetual evolutionary struggle, structures of domination become

normalized, and dignity is fundamentally denied. Thus, a civilized order requires more than policy reform; it requires a moral anthropology that affirms human beings as moral agents endowed with inherent worth. Without this foundation, sophisticated barbarism will continue to dominate.

The Africonomics Alternative: Moral Anthropology and Human Dignity

Against Darwinian reductionism, which interprets human beings as evolved animals shaped by evolutionary struggle, Africonomics advances an accurate anthropology grounded in theological and philosophical truth about human nature. It restores the human person to the center of social theory and policy—not as a competitive animal or material variable, but as a moral agent endowed with inherent dignity, agency, and responsibility.

Human Beings as Moral Agents

Africonomics begins from the recognition that human beings possess the capacity to know moral truth, deliberate about right and wrong, uphold justice, and freely choose among alternatives. Moral agency is not an illusion produced by neurochemistry, nor a mere adaptive trait; it is central to what it means to be human.

To be human is to be morally conscious and accountable.

This accountability presupposes freedom, rationality, and conscience. Individuals can recognize justice, experience guilt, pursue virtue, and act against immediate impulse in adherence to higher principles. Such capacities cannot be reduced to instinct or survival programming without undermining reasoned discourse and ethical responsibility.

Inherent Human Dignity

From this moral anthropology flows the doctrine of inherent human dignity. Dignity is not earned, conferred, or measured by productivity, intelligence, genetic fitness, race, class, or social contribution. It is intrinsic. Every human being, by virtue of being human, possesses equal moral worth.

Non-racial, non-hierarchical, inherent human dignity provides the only coherent foundation for universal human rights, moral equality across races and cultures, protection of the vulnerable, meaningful limits on political authority, the prohibition of coercion and exploitation, and sovereign equality in international relations.

Unlike evolutionary hierarchies that rank individuals or groups according to capacity,

Africonomics affirms that worth does not vary with ability. The infant, the disabled, the elderly, and the marginalized possess the same dignity as the powerful and accomplished.

Natural-Moral Law as Objective Foundation

Africonomics grounds this anthropology in natural-moral law—the objective moral order accessible to human reason and reflective of transcendent moral reality. Truth, justice, consent, and nonaggression are not social conventions; they are binding principles derived from human moral nature and the requirements of peaceful coexistence.

This framework reconciles liberty and order. Because humans are moral agents, they are capable of voluntary, free, and principled cooperation. Because they possess dignity, they must never be treated as mere means to collective ends. Because objective moral principles exist, law and governance must conform to them rather than override them.

From Perpetual Rivalry to Ethical Responsibility

Where Darwinian anthropology normalizes rivalry and conflict as the natural condition of humanity, Africonomics emphasizes responsibility and relationality. Cooperation is not merely an adaptive strategy for survival; it is a moral obligation rooted in shared humanity. Justice is not a byproduct of competition; it is the precondition of civilized order.

This shift transforms social and political theory. Power is no longer legitimized by fitness or dominance but constrained by moral principle. Institutional structures exist not to manage populations as biological resources but to protect rights, uphold human dignity, and foster structural justice. Economic life becomes a domain of peaceful cooperation rather than a battlefield of evolutionary struggle.

Civilizational Implications

A society built on moral anthropology differs fundamentally from one built on mechanistic and animistic reductionism. It cultivates stable families and communities grounded in responsibility; economic systems structured around voluntary exchange and honest money; legal frameworks that protect rather than override natural rights; and international relations based on sovereign equality and nonaggression.

In this framework, civilization is not military power and domination; it is the integrity of human relations and the institutionalization of justice among moral equals.

Africonomics thus offers not only a refutation but reconstruction. By restoring a coherent understanding of human nature—rational, responsible, and dignified—it provides the philosophical foundation necessary for structural justice, productive peace, and genuine human flourishing. Where Darwinian anthropology dissolves dignity, Africonomics reclaims dignity as the cornerstone of civilization.

Conclusion

Darwinian anthropology—the reduction of humans to advanced animals driven by instinct and survival imperatives—is not one theory among others but a comprehensive worldview that denies human nature and produces civilizational catastrophe. When combined with consequentialism (which dissolves moral constraints) and statism (which concentrates coercive power), Darwinian anthropology completes the Western paradigm’s logic of domination. Treating humans as animals enables treating them as mere means to be coerced, manipulated, exploited, controlled, oppressed, and ultimately discarded when they cease to serve collective objectives.

The historical record demonstrates the catastrophic consequences of Darwinian anthropology: scientific racism, which has justified colonialism and genocide; eugenics programs have led to the sterilization and murder of those deemed “unfit”; totalitarian regimes have treated human beings as mere resources for social engineering; cultural decay; and the moral nihilism that characterizes societies that have abandoned recognition of human dignity and purpose. Recovery of true civilization requires rejecting Darwinian anthropology and adopting a truthful understanding of human nature as inherently moral beings. Only such anthropology can:

- Ground inherent human dignity and provide a stable foundation for universal rights.
- Explain distinctively human capacities—reason, moral consciousness, free will, creativity, and ethical choice and action.
- Make moral responsibility coherent and structural justice possible.
- Enable social flourishing and cultural transmission across generations.
- Give hope and purpose that transcends material existence.

The Africonomics framework provides this anthropology, articulating how recognition of human moral nature shapes economics, politics, law, institutional design, social order, and international relations. This is an existential necessity, as civilization cannot survive a worldview that denies the very human nature on which it depends. Africonomics restores human moral nature for a structurally just, peaceful, and civilized order.

5. How the Three Pillars Reinforce Each Other

The modern Western order rests upon three mutually reinforcing philosophical pillars: consequentialism as its ethical framework, statism as its political-economic structure, and Darwinian anthropology as its conception of human nature. These are not isolated doctrines that happen to coexist; they form an integrated paradigm—each sustaining, legitimizing, and stabilizing the others.

Consequentialism enables statism. Statism requires consequentialism. Darwinian anthropology justifies both. Together, they form a coherent system of domination resistant to reform.

Ethical License, Institutional Mechanism, and Anthropological Justification

Consequentialism dissolves intrinsic moral standards by subordinating principle to outcome. When the right action is whatever produces the best aggregate result, coercion, surveillance, expropriation, or violence becomes defensible whenever framed as necessary for stability, security, or progress. But consequentialism requires an implementing authority capable of calculating and enforcing these “optimal” outcomes. Statism provides precisely this mechanism: centralized, arbitrary power that claims the authority to plan, regulate, tax, control, coerce, and rule over society.

Statism, in turn, depends on consequentialist reasoning for moral legitimacy. Without outcome-based justification, comprehensive coercion would appear as arbitrary domination. Consequentialism supplies the narrative that coercion serves the greater good.

Darwinian anthropology completes the triad. When human beings are interpreted as competitive evolved animals lacking moral agency and responsibility, paternalistic management appears rational. Populations must be guided, optimized, and shaped. If moral principles are evolutionary adaptations rather than objective truths, then intrinsic limits on power dissolve. What remains is calculation and control.

Darwinian anthropology completes the triad. When humans are viewed as evolved animals without moral agency or responsibility, paternalistic management seems rational. The belief that populations must be managed, optimized, and shaped becomes entrenched. When moral principles are seen as subjective, merely evolutionary adaptations rather than objective truths, inherent constraints on power disappear. What remains is a focus on calculation and control.

Thus, the three pillars operate in a closed loop: consequentialism authorizes systematic

coercion for beneficial ends; statism institutionalizes coercion through centralized authority; and Darwinian anthropology normalizes hierarchy and managerial control.

Each pillar strengthens the others, and together they constitute a comprehensive system of control, exploitation, dehumanization, structural violence, and sophisticated barbarism.

The Nature of Paradigmatic Integration

A paradigm is more than a set of policy preferences. It is a comprehensive worldview—a constellation of assumptions about human nature, morality, authority, social order, and international relations that shapes perception and constrains imagination itself. Paradigms operate largely beneath conscious awareness. They define institutional design and what seems realistic, rational, and morally acceptable.

Because these three pillars are philosophically integrated and institutionally entrenched, reform proves ineffective. Consequentialism sustains statism, statism protects consequentialism, and Darwinian anthropology provides both with anthropological plausibility. Attempts to adjust one element while preserving the others encounter structural resistance. In other words, a paradigm cannot be reformed.

The Logic of Domination in Practice

The crises afflicting Western society are not accidental distortions but logical outcomes of this integrated framework.

- Perpetual war flows from consequentialist acceptance of violence as a strategic instrument, combined with statist concentration of military power and Darwinian interpretation of international relations as a struggle for survival and domination.
- Economic instability arises from statist monetary manipulation and regulatory intervention justified through appeals to collective welfare.
- Institutional corruption emerges when concentrated authority operates without intrinsic moral constraints.
- Social fragmentation follows from centralized erosion of intermediary institutions and consequentialist weakening of binding moral norms.
- Cultural decay and moral nihilism stem from Darwinian materialism and the denial of objective moral truth.

These phenomena are not policy accidents; they are structural consequences.

The Implications of a Paradigm-Level Crisis

If the crisis is paradigmatic rather than technical, then managerial reform cannot succeed. Adjusting tax rates, revising regulations, or rotating political leadership leaves the philosophical and institutional architecture that generates systemic corruption, dysfunction, and violence unchanged. Even attempts to moderate statism while retaining consequentialist ethics, or to soften Darwinian anthropology while preserving centralized power, fail because the components reinforce one another.

Meaningful transformation requires paradigm replacement.

The integrated triad of consequentialism, statism, and Darwinian anthropology must be rejected entirely, not refined or adjusted. Civilization cannot be stabilized by recalibrating domination.

Africonomics advances a fundamentally different paradigm: natural-moral law as the objective foundation, voluntary cooperation as the organizing principle, accurate anthropology that affirms inherent human dignity, and structural justice as the foundational standard of civilized social order. Where the Western paradigm produces the logic of domination, Africonomics seeks to institutionalize the logic of justice.

The path forward is not reform within the paradigm, but moral reconstruction beyond it.

6. The Logic of Domination: From Premises to Practice

Domination as a Structural Outcome

The logic of domination is not an accidental distortion of the Western paradigm. It is the predictable structural outcome of its philosophical premises. When consequentialism defines morality, statism organizes political-economic order, and Darwinian anthropology shapes understanding of human nature, domination ceases to be an aberration and becomes the systemic norm.

When morality is consequentialist, ends justify means; consequentialism removes intrinsic moral principles. When actions are evaluated solely by their outcomes, no act is intrinsically wrong. Coercion, deception, dispossession, censorship, violence, and even genocide become permissible if framed as necessary to secure stability, prosperity, or security. Moral constraints

become nominal and provisional. Rights become conditional. And justice becomes subordinate to calculation and power.

Under such a framework, the question is no longer whether an action violates an ethical principle, but whether it produces desirable results. This transforms governance into control and managerial optimization. It also creates permanent moral elasticity, as what was prohibited yesterday may be justified tomorrow if circumstances demand. The logic of domination becomes defensible and embedded in society.

Statism supplies the institutional machinery required to implement consequentialist calculation. If society is to be managed and engineered toward optimal outcomes, a centralized authority must possess the power to direct resources, regulate conduct, and enforce compliance. Systemic coercion, control, manipulation, and exploitation follow.

Confiscatory taxation, regulatory repression, monetary manipulation, comprehensive surveillance, and technocratic management become necessary tools. Participation is no longer voluntary but compelled and enforced. Individuals are dehumanized and treated as components within a centrally managed mechanistic system. Such is the reality of contemporary societies under the Western consequentialist, statist, and Darwinian paradigm: human dignity is denied, individual rights are nominal, injustice is structural, democracy is procedural, tyranny is technocratic, and governance is arbitrary.

When authority is centralized and empowered to override natural rights for collective ends, coercion, deception, and exploitation become structural. Even when exercised through legal procedures and technocratic language, the system remains tyrannical. The state claims authority not merely to protect rights, but to control, manage, and determine social outcomes. Domination thus becomes institutionalized—not episodic abuse, but routine administration.

Darwinian anthropology provides the anthropological justification. When human beings are conceived as survival-driven organisms shaped by evolutionary struggle, then rivalry, hierarchy, and conflict are seen as natural conditions. Stronger individuals and groups dominating weaker ones is viewed as an expression of biological reality rather than moral failure. In essence, if humans are merely advanced animals, domination (domestic and international) appears natural.

In domestic politics, this justifies comprehensive paternalistic control. Populations must be managed because they are products of evolutionary conditioning rather than dignified and responsible moral agents. In international relations, it normalizes power competition and conflict

as states behave like beasts in a perpetual struggle for survival and domination.

“Survival of the fittest” translates into policy doctrine. Economic dominance, military superiority, and geopolitical hegemony become indicators of evolutionary success. Inequality is reframed as evidence of fitness and subjugation is interpreted as natural selection.

Synthesis: Systematic Domination Justified and Institutionalized

When these three premises converge, domination becomes coherent, defensible, and self-perpetuating. Consequentialism dissolves moral standards, statism provides coercive mechanisms, and Darwinian anthropology normalizes hierarchy, rivalry, and violence. The result is not merely occasional injustice but structural domination embedded in law, economics, governance, and global order. Individuals are subordinated to arbitrary authority, weaker nations are subordinated to militarily stronger ones, and moral language is replaced with technical rationalization.

This synthesis produces a system in which violence is justified as a strategic necessity, economic exploitation is framed as development, mass surveillance is described as security, and hierarchy is portrayed as progress. Domination is no longer perceived as a violation of justice; it is reframed as rational governance.

The logic of domination flows directly from the philosophical architecture of the Western consequentialist, statist, and Darwinian paradigm. It is not an abuse of the system; it is the system working as designed. Only by replacing the underlying premises—restoring objective morality, limiting state authority to the protection of rights, and affirming human beings as moral equals—can this logic be dismantled. Without such a fundamental philosophical transformation, domination and sophisticated barbarism will remain the norm.

Domestic Domination: The Administrative State

The logic of domination is most visible domestically in the rise of the administrative state. While often framed as neutral governance or regulatory oversight, the administrative state embodies statist and consequentialist premises: centralized authority empowered to shape social, economic, and cultural life in pursuit of engineered outcomes. What emerges is not merely a government, but managerial rule.

Under modern statism, regulatory authority extends into nearly every aspect of human life. Economic production, employment relations, housing, healthcare, finance, education,

transportation, communication, and even private contractual arrangements are subject to layers of bureaucratic control.

Activities that were once governed by voluntary agreement now require licensing, compliance certification, reporting obligations, and prior state approval. The presumption has shifted from freedom to permission. Individuals are no longer free to act unless explicitly prohibited; they are prohibited unless authorized. This regulatory repression transforms peaceful and productive activity into administratively managed conduct.

Technological capacity has amplified the reach of the administrative state. Financial transactions, communications, travel patterns, digital expression, and commercial activities are all monitored, stored, and analyzed. Regulatory compliance is enforced through data integration and algorithmic oversight.

Surveillance need not always be overtly coercive to be effective. The knowledge that activity is monitored alters behavior. Social control has become anticipatory, preemptive, and embedded in the architecture of governance rather than merely punitive. Compliance is internalized by members of society as subservience to state power has become normalized. This produces a subtle but profound transformation: people are reduced to statistical units, material inputs, and cogs in a state-managed system.

Through fiat monetary systems, fiscal policy, regulatory intervention, and redistributive programs, the administrative state controls and reshapes economic life. Credit expansion, inflationary policy, subsidies, tariffs, and selective bailouts distort market signals while expanding state power. Dependency increases. Individuals, corporations, and entire sectors have become reliant on state-directed flows of credit, contracts, and benefits. Autonomy diminishes as economic survival becomes increasingly tied to political structures. In such a system, independence is fragile; alignment with political, administrative priorities becomes a prudent strategy and a pathway to wealth or prestige.

The administrative state does not confine itself to technocratic economic management. Through centralized education systems, public funding channels, regulatory requirements, and institutional gatekeeping, it shapes cultural narratives and intellectual boundaries. While formal cultural or intellectual censorship may be rare, informal conformity is incentivized. Professional advancement, access to funding, and institutional legitimacy depend on alignment with prevailing ideological frameworks. Dissent may not be criminalized, but it is marginalized.

Consequentialist reasoning justifies such comprehensive control. Restricting certain expressions or promoting particular narratives is defended as necessary for social cohesion, safety, or security. The result is not overt tyranny but a structurally autocratic system cloaked in legality and democracy with a narrow corridor of acceptable discourse under the guise of consensus.

Modern Western societies do not typically display the overt brutality associated with classical totalitarian regimes. Yet the administrative state imposes a softer form of totalizing control—comprehensive, technocratic, and incremental. Coercion, manipulation, repression, and exploitation are embedded in the structures of the system. Control is exercised through compliance mechanisms, and state domination is rationalized as technical, scientific governance.

Such is the soft totalitarianism of modern statism.

On the Scale of Statism, most contemporary Western nations occupy positions between Stage 3 (mixed economy) and Stage 4 (economic fascism), with certain states moving toward Stage 5 (democratic socialism). Though ideological rhetoric differs, the structural pattern is consistent: increasing centralization, expanding administrative authority, and growing subordination of voluntary order to bureaucratic management. This progression does not occur through abrupt revolution but through the gradual accumulation of regulatory power justified by successive crises.

The domestic face of the logic of domination is therefore not dramatic repression or overt tyranny but centralized state control and management of society. It is the steady replacement of self-governing communities with managed populations. Where moral agency is denied, individual rights are nominal, and outcomes justify means, comprehensive state control, arbitrary governance, and structurally autocratic systems become the norm. The administrative state is not a deviation from the Western paradigm, but its logical institutional expression.

Economic Domination: Statism, Control, and Extraction

Economic domination within the Western paradigm does not operate primarily through overt dispossession. It functions through institutional design. Markets are presented as free, competition as open, and prosperity as widely shared. Yet beneath this rhetoric lies a system of politically managed markets structured around centralized control, privilege, and extraction. The result is not free exchange, but a regulated hierarchy.

Western economies are not free markets; they are politically managed markets. A free-market

economic order is based on voluntary exchange under stable legal protection of property rights and sound money. In contrast, modern Western economies operate under extensive regulatory regimes, central bank management, fiscal manipulation, and political intervention. Interest rates are administratively set; credit flows are shaped by policy; industries are subsidized or restricted according to political priorities; and market entry requires licensing approval.

Compliance costs disproportionately burden smaller competitors while established firms adapt through scale and influence, benefiting from regulatory restrictions.

The market is not abolished; it is managed. Prices may fluctuate, but the underlying framework is politically structured and directed. Economic life has become an extension of administrative statist governance.

Consequently, political privilege, institutional corruption, and regulatory capture pervade Western nations. Where power is concentrated and regulation is pervasive, influence peddling becomes a currency. Large corporations and financial institutions develop symbiotic relationships with regulatory agencies; policies are shaped through lobbying and advisory roles; and revolving-door employment between state and industry.

This phenomenon, known as regulatory capture, transforms regulatory oversight into a tool of access, privilege, advantage, and elite enrichment. Rules are written in ways that entrench incumbents and raise barriers to entry; risk is socialized while gains remain private; and losses are mitigated through bailouts justified in the name of systemic stability. Political proximity has largely replaced entrepreneurial merit as the pathway to security and success. The state has become the arbiter of economic fortune.

In contemporary statist economies, wealth is systematically concentrated upward through inflation, taxation, and regulation. Beyond regulatory takings and visible taxation lies a more subtle mechanism of systemic extraction and dispossession: monetary debasement. Under fiat systems, currency creation through central banking and credit expansion dilutes purchasing power. Monetary inflation is a hidden tool that transfers wealth from the majority (wage earners and savers) to debtors and asset holders. In other words, inflation benefits the state and associated elites at the expense of everyone else.

Taxation compounds this confiscatory process. Compulsory levies finance expansive administrative structures, military commitments, and redistributive programs that reinforce dependency. While presented as necessary for public goods, these mechanisms centralize

resources and expand state power. The combined effect is a structural redistribution upward and inward—toward institutions with access to monetary channels and proximity to political power.

Additionally, debt has become a defining feature of modern economic life. Governments operate on perpetual deficit financing; corporations rely on leverage; households accumulate long-term obligations for housing, education, and consumption. This debt architecture entrenches dependence, as servicing obligations constrain autonomy; financial institutions acquire influence over policy to ensure systemic continuity; and nations become entangled in international debt arrangements that limit their sovereignty.

Under such conditions, economic freedom narrows, as participation in society becomes tethered to compliance with financial structures shaped by centralized authority.

Despite these dynamics, modern Western economies often display technological advancement, consumer abundance, and high aggregate GDP. This creates the appearance of prosperity. Yet appearance can conceal structural fragility. Asset price inflation masks stagnant real growth; credit expansion sustains consumption that future output must repay; and rising inequality reflects privileged access to monetary creation and regulatory advantage. Thus, beneath the surface of abundance and sophistication lies systemic corruption, dysfunction, and exploitation—a gradual erosion of savings, constrained mobility, and concentration of economic power.

The system functions, but not neutrally, much less justly. It extracts, reallocates, represses, and conditions.

Economic domination under statism does not abolish markets; it subordinates them. It replaces voluntary, free-market coordination with politically directed allocation. It sustains the image of freedom while embedding control and dependency. In this architecture, wealth is not solely created through productive exchange but redistributed through policy, privilege, and inflation. The language of capitalism persists, but the structure is centralized management.

The result is a managed nominal prosperity that conceals structural coercion, extraction, and dispossession—a system in which economic life is another domain of the logic of domination.

Cultural Domination: Engineering Human Nature

Domination under the Western paradigm does not confine itself to politics or economics. It extends into culture. When human beings are interpreted as malleable organisms shaped by environment and evolutionary conditioning, cultural formation becomes a site of deliberate

management and engineering. The goal is no longer merely to direct actions, but to shape identities, beliefs, and intuitions. Cultural domination represents the attempt to engineer human nature in accordance with ideological and administrative objectives.

Education, in its classical form, aimed to cultivate virtue, pursue truth and understanding, and instill moral responsibility. Under statist and materialist frameworks, it has increasingly become a mechanism of social engineering aligned with prevailing ideological commitments. Centralized curricula, standardized testing regimes, and state-accredited credentialing structures create uniformity of perspective, enforcing ideological alignment. Moral and philosophical questions are sidelined or reframed within secular-materialist assumptions. Historical narratives are selectively emphasized to reinforce contemporary ideological aims.

Instead of cultivating truth-seeking and moral reasoning, educational systems typically prioritize conformity to approved frameworks. The classroom has become a channel through which state-serving anthropological and ethical assumptions are normalized across generations. Under the Western consequentialist, statist, and Darwinian paradigm, educational institutions often function more as centers of ideological indoctrination and programming than as places of actual education.

Modern media ecosystems possess unprecedented power to shape perception. Through repetition, framing, and selective amplification, certain narratives become dominant while others are marginalized. What is considered legitimate opinion narrows. Public discourse increasingly reflects a centralized narrative aimed at controlling and manipulating information.

The Western system has mastered the art of effective propaganda without resembling overt authoritarian messaging. Western propaganda functions through subtle alignment among corporate, state, and cultural institutions. Economic incentives, regulatory pressures, and reputational mechanisms discourage dissent. Algorithms amplify certain viewpoints while suppressing others. Control over narrative is control over imagination. By shaping the boundaries of conceivable alternatives, cultural institutions constrain the horizon of political, economic, and moral possibility.

Institutions such as family, religious communities, and local associations historically provided moral formation independent of centralized authority. They cultivated virtue, transmitted tradition, and reinforced shared ethical norms. Under modern statism, these institutions have significantly weakened. Regulatory encroachment, economic pressures, cultural redefinition, and ideological enforcement erode their authority. As traditional structures decline, individuals

become increasingly reliant on state systems for identity, security, and belonging. This expands state power and increases structural dependency.

The weakening of family stability, religious commitment, and communal bonds contributes to social atomization. Individuals detached from stable, supportive environments are more easily integrated into centralized systems.

Where moral unity dissolves, identity fragments. Political discourse shifts from shared principles to competing group claims. Identity categories—racial, sexual, economic, or cultural—become primary markers of political alignment. This fragmentation undermines solidarity rooted in common humanity. Social energy is redirected toward intra-societal rivalry rather than understanding and meaningful reform. Division often serves centralized power by preventing unified resistance.

Identity politics thus functions as a modern divide-and-rule mechanism, as conflict among groups distracts from the existing institutional corruption, systemic dysfunction, and concentration of power.

Moreover, when transcendent moral standards are rejected and virtue is reframed as socially constructed, traditional moral vocabulary weakens. Practices once understood as unethical and destructive may be normalized in the name of modernity or progress. Self-restraint, responsibility, fidelity, and sacrifice lose cultural prestige. Vice is often openly promoted in films and TV shows, degrading virtue and spreading moral corruption.

The cumulative effect is civilizational erosion. Demographic decline, family breakdown, loss of shared purpose, social instability, and cultural nihilism follow. Societies struggle to sustain long-term commitments when immediate gratification and individual preference become supreme.

Cultural domination does not merely impose ideology; it erodes moral consciousness and sensibility to injustice while promoting militarism, violence, and animosity. It conditions populations to accept relativism, dependency, and managed identity as natural. Under the logic of domination, culture is not an organic expression of shared moral truth. It is an administrative domain that is engineered, curated, and regulated.

A society that is disconnected from objective moral law and inherent human dignity is vulnerable to manipulation. When virtue is degraded and vice is normalized, domination no longer requires overt force; it becomes culturally internalized. Only an accurate anthropology

grounded in natural-moral law can resist this social engineering. Without it, cultural order dissolves into arbitrary preferences—and civilizational decay follows.

International Domination: Empire and Imperialism

The logic of domination does not stop at national borders. A paradigm that legitimizes coercion, deception, and dispossession domestically will project that same logic outward. International domination is therefore not an accidental deviation from domestic governance but its external manifestation. What is practiced internally through administrative control and economic management is projected globally through empire, monetary leverage, military force, and ideological imposition.

As statism normalizes centralized authority over society, it also normalizes centralized authority over international relations. As consequentialism permits domestic coercion for desirable outcomes, it also permits aggressive intervention abroad for “stability,” “security,” or “democracy.” As Darwinian anthropology frames human relations as a perpetual struggle for dominance, rivalry among nations becomes naturalized. Hence, domestic paternalism becomes international hegemony.

The same logic that treats citizens as populations to be coerced, controlled, and managed treats foreign societies as territories to be conquered, dominated, or reshaped through brute military force. Sovereignty is conditional, nominal, and selective under the Western paradigm. Independence is tolerated only within hegemonic boundaries.

One of the most powerful instruments of international domination is monetary architecture. A global reserve currency system anchored in fiat expansion grants extraordinary leverage to the issuing power. Currency creation, interest rate policy, financial sanctions, and access to clearing systems have long been weaponized by the reigning empire, the United States, as tools of geopolitical control and dominance.

Nations dependent on reserve currency liquidity or international credit structures function within constraints they do not control. Inflation is exported; debt has become a tool of empire; and financial networks can exclude, freeze, or discipline states that resist prevailing policy norms. This is not free-market capitalism; it is statist economic imperialism without formal annexation. It functions through structural dependency rather than overt occupation.

Military supremacy reinforces monetary and political dominance. Foreign bases, defense alliances, intervention doctrines, and global surveillance infrastructures sustain a hegemonic

system of reach unmatched in history.

War has been normalized—not necessarily as total global conflict, but as continuous low-intensity engagement involving proxy wars, regime change operations, counterterrorism campaigns, and strategic containment. The justification is almost invariably consequentialist, that violence is necessary for global order, stability, or security. This has produced a condition of permanent militarization where defense budgets expand, security rationales override civil liberties, and conflict is managed rather than resolved.

Beyond military and monetary dominance lies cultural hegemony. Western governance methods, development models, educational frameworks, and media narratives are exported globally as universal norms. Local traditions are often reinterpreted through foreign categories and intellectual sovereignty diminishes.

Ideological conformity is incentivized through funding structures, international institutions, diplomatic pressure, and the threat of a coup. Divergent civilizational frameworks are marginalized or delegitimized. What is presented as universal values or scientific truth often reflects the philosophical assumptions of the Western paradigm. Cultural imperialism thus complements economic and military dominance. It shapes and constrains not only policy but imagination itself.

The contemporary global order has framed itself as “rules-based.” Yet the content and enforcement of those rules are asymmetrical. Power determines rule-making and rule enforcement, which vary by geopolitical alignment. When rules serve to stabilize established hierarchies rather than ensure justice, they function as instruments of domination. Institutions that appear neutral reflect embedded disparities in voting power, financial control, and agenda-setting authority.

This structure aligns with the analysis advanced in *The Africonomics Theory of International Relations: A Natural-Moral Framework for Civilized Global Order* (Tacanho, 2026). That work underlines that the prevailing system is grounded not in objective moral law but in power politics rationalized through consequentialist reasoning and supported by statist institutions.

Modern international domination rarely adopts the explicit language of empire. But its functional characteristics—monetary control, military projection, institutional hierarchy, and ideological standardization—mirror those of earlier imperial systems. The difference is in the sophistication of the new imperial structure. Domination is exercised through networks, no

longer primarily through territorial conquest.

The logic of domination remains consistent, however: centralized power legitimized by outcome-based ethics and normalized by animalistic anthropology. Under such a paradigm, sovereign equality is untenable; international peace is a fragile equilibrium; and justice is subordinated to hegemony.

The projection of domestic domination globally confirms the coherence of the Western paradigm. What is practiced at home is practiced abroad. The logic does not change; only the scale expands. Breaking this cycle requires more than geopolitical realignment. It requires rejecting the philosophical premises that render domination rational and grounding international relations in natural-moral law, sovereign equality, and nonaggression.

Existential Domination: The Assault on Human Nature Itself

The logic of domination does not culminate in political control, economic extraction, or cultural management. Its final trajectory is existential. When human beings are reduced to mechanistic-animalistic organisms shaped by evolutionary forces, and when morality is subordinated to outcome-based calculation, nothing is sacred or off-limits—not even human nature itself. The ultimate domain of domination becomes the human person.

Transhumanism represents the logical endpoint of Darwinian anthropology combined with technological consequentialism. If human beings are evolutionary accidents with no fixed essence or transcendent dignity, then deliberate redesign appears rational. Evolution becomes a process to be accelerated, directed, and improved by human intervention as a means to consolidate hegemony.

The goal shifts from cultivating virtue to upgrading capacity. Where traditional moral frameworks sought to improve character, transhumanism seeks to modify biology and reengineer human nature. Enhancement replaces character formation and optimization replaces dignity.

Advances in genetic technology promise the elimination of disease and the enhancement of desirable traits. While therapeutic intervention may alleviate suffering, the deeper philosophical question concerns the criterion for improvement. When human value is measured by capacity, intelligence, productivity, or evolutionary fitness, genetic selection becomes a tool for maximizing advantage and power. Traits deemed undesirable may be eliminated while traits deemed superior may be engineered.

Without an objective foundation of inherent and universal human dignity, there is no principled limit to such modifications. The language of enhancement can conceal a return to hierarchical valuation, but this time enforced not by crude racial theory but by biomedical technology. What was once justified by pseudoscientific craniometry could reappear as algorithmic genome editing.

Parallel to biological modification is the rise of artificial intelligence and automation. As AI systems assume cognitive and decision-making functions, a narrative emerges: human judgment is inefficient; algorithmic optimization is superior. If humans are primarily information-processing organisms, then replacing them with more efficient processors appears logical and desirable. Decision-making may migrate from moral deliberation to predictive modeling. Governance can become even more technocratic through automation. In such a framework, human agency is further marginalized and repressed. Autonomy gives way to algorithmic management and the person becomes subordinate to systems designed to optimize collective outcomes and centralized state control.

The convergence of genetic engineering, neural augmentation, and artificial intelligence raises the possibility of remaking humanity itself—producing posthuman entities engineered for efficiency, resilience, intelligence, or merciless killing. When there is no intrinsic human nature to respect and preserve, redesign is seen as progress and replacement as evolution. The biological human may be viewed as an obsolete stage in technological development. This is the existential culmination of the Western paradigm: domination not only of societies, but of the human essence.

The Western consequentialist-statist-Darwinian paradigm is not neutral regarding humanity; it is structurally destabilizing to human dignity and flourishing. It is an actively anti-human paradigm. By grounding human value in fitness and outcomes, it removes the metaphysical basis for inherent worth and dignity; and by privileging optimization over principle, it licenses modification without limit. This is not incidental dehumanization; it is structural anti-humanism.

Civilization depends upon recognition that human beings possess an inviolable nature, moral agency, and inherent worth. When such a foundational recognition is denied, technological capacity accelerates domination and sophisticated barbarism. The question ceases to be whether humans should be treated as dignified beings and becomes how efficiently they can be modified, managed, or replaced.

Africonomics rejects the transhumanist trajectory. It affirms that human beings are not raw

material for improvement but moral agents endowed with intrinsic dignity. Technology must serve the person, not redefine the person; enhancement cannot override human essence; and progress cannot negate humanity.

Existential domination is the final expression of a paradigm that begins by reducing humans to animals and ends by seeking to transcend them altogether. If civilization is to flourish, the assault on human nature must be resisted philosophically and technologically by restoring a principled anthropology that recognizes the sacredness of the human person.

Why Domination Is Barbarism and Always Fails

Domination is often presented as strength, sophistication, or strategic necessity. Yet beneath its veneer of order lies barbarism—the subordination of moral principle and people to arbitrary power. A system that organizes society around coercion, deception, and hierarchy cannot produce durable stability. It contains within itself the seeds of its own collapse.

Coercion may compel compliance, but it cannot secure legitimacy or guarantee prosperity. Where individuals or nations are subordinated against their will, resentment accumulates. Suppressed grievance eventually surfaces, whether through political unrest, economic disengagement, cultural backlash, or geopolitical realignment. Domination achieves temporary stability through fear or dependency, but it simultaneously erodes trust. Trust, not force, is the true currency of civilization. Without it, social cohesion weakens and conflict intensifies.

When power is justified through consequentialist rationalization rather than moral truth, public narratives diverge from lived reality. Governments speak of freedom while expanding surveillance; institutions proclaim equality while entrenching hierarchy; and economic systems promise prosperity while concentrating wealth.

Such contradictions are not sustainable; falsehood requires continuous reinforcement and violence requires escalating enforcement. Over time, legitimacy erodes as citizens recognize the disparity between rhetoric and reality. History demonstrates that systems built on deception, coercion, and violence eventually collapse; either gradually through decay or abruptly through crisis.

Civilization depends upon virtue: honesty, restraint, responsibility, and respect for dignity. When institutions normalize coercion and entrench injustice in the name of expediency, moral corruption spreads. Leaders become cynical; citizens become distrustful; and law becomes instrumental rather than principled. This moral corruption reduces a society's capacity for

productive cooperation, long-term planning, and civilizational flourishing. Barbarism is not merely external aggression; it is the internal erosion of moral order.

Domination creates structural contradictions. Economically, monetary manipulation and debt accumulation cause chronic instability, exacerbate inequality, and undermine social cohesion. Centralized control hinders genuine prosperity, and dependency replaces productivity as the state expands. Demographically, cultures that deny transcendent meaning and degrade family stability struggle to sustain continuity. Social fragmentation accelerates as shared moral frameworks dissolve. Politically, centralized authority expands to manage crises it has itself caused, deepening the cycle of control, decay, and resistance.

These contradictions are not anomalies; they are predictable consequences of sustained violations of natural-moral law.

The current systemic crises afflicting Western societies—permanent economic rivalry, endless geopolitical conflict, financial fragility, monetary instability, institutional distrust, social unrest, demographic decline, and cultural polarization—are not isolated failures of management; they reflect a comprehensive paradigm failure. When truth is subordinated to narrative, justice to utility, and dignity to power, instability follows. Natural-moral law is not an optional ethical preference; it is the foundation of a structurally just, peaceful, and thriving order. Violating it produces cumulative disorder and decay.

Domination may appear effective in the short term. It centralizes power, enforces conformity, exploits populations, and extracts resources. But over time it undermines the very conditions that sustain civilization: truth, trust, voluntary cooperation, and shared meaning. Domination is barbarism, and it always fails because it violates natural-moral law principles.

A society organized against human nature and dignity cannot truly flourish. Civilization cannot be engineered through coercion, deception, and violence. It must be built upon justice. Systems that reject this foundation may endure temporarily, but they cannot last.

The collapse of domination is not accidental; it is inevitable.

7. Global Apartheid: The Racial Hegemony of the Western Order

Beneath the facade of a liberal and rules-based order, the Western-dominated global order functions as a sophisticated system of racial hierarchy and hegemony—what can be accurately termed *global apartheid*. While apartheid South Africa (1948-1994) is widely recognized as a moral atrocity and historical anomaly, this characterization obscures a crucial truth: apartheid was not an aberration from Western culture but an overt and localized expression of its foundational logic.

What South Africa codified explicitly through racial classification, pass laws, and Bantustans, the Western paradigm implements globally through more sophisticated mechanisms, including monetary manipulation, institutional capture, selective application of international law, and carefully maintained racial and civilizational hierarchy. It is a well-stratified system in which power, privilege, dignity, sovereignty, and prosperity are distributed asymmetrically along racialized lines.

The contemporary global order preserves Western racial hegemony while obscuring its operation through technocratic language, humanitarian rhetoric, and claims of universal values. Understanding this global apartheid system requires recognizing how the Western paradigm's three pillars—consequentialism, statism, and Darwinian anthropology—combine to produce and justify racial domination at a planetary scale.

Apartheid, in its essential nature, is a system characterized by hierarchical classification and stratification of society, spatial segregation, differential legal and institutional systems, resource extraction and labor exploitation, ideological justification, and systemic violence (coercive force that maintains hierarchy and suppresses resistance).

These elements characterize both South African apartheid and the global Western order. The difference is not in the fundamental structure but in the sophistication of the operation. Global apartheid functions through seemingly neutral and scientific institutional mechanisms rather than explicit racial classification, making it harder to recognize and challenge.

Apartheid South Africa as a Microcosm of the Western Paradigm

Apartheid South Africa was not a deviation from Western culture but its concentrated, explicit manifestation. The National Party government that implemented apartheid (1948-1994) drew explicitly on:

Western racial pseudoscience - the racial classification system and justifications for White supremacy derived directly from Western scientific racism, Social Darwinism, and eugenics that had been developed and implemented throughout the West. The same theories used to justify colonialism, slavery, and genocide globally were applied domestically in South Africa.

Western statism - apartheid functioned through comprehensive state control; pass laws that regulated movement, the Group Areas Act controlled residence, the Population Registration Act classified race, a massive security apparatus, extensive censorship and surveillance, and state control of the economy through regulation and parastatals. This essentially totalitarian statism represented a logical extension of Western state-building rather than a departure from it.

Consequentialist justification - apartheid was defended through consequentialist logic; namely that racial separation would benefit all groups by preventing conflict, that development of separate homelands would enable African self-determination, and that security measures were necessary to prevent chaos. These rationalizations paralleled Western justifications for colonialism and contemporary aggressions.

Western institutional support - apartheid was sustained through Western support; economic investment, military cooperation, diplomatic cover, and technology transfer. Major Western corporations profited from apartheid's cheap African labor. Western governments maintained relations while offering only symbolic opposition. This support revealed Western powers' comfort with explicit racial domination when it served their interests.

The international condemnation of apartheid, while eventually genuine, obscured the fact that similar systems of racial domination operated throughout Western colonial empires and continue in modified form globally. The selective outrage at South African apartheid while Western nations maintained similar structures elsewhere (segregation in the United States, colonial subjugation across Africa and Asia) demonstrated the hypocrisy of Western moral claims.

Historical Parallels: Jim Crow and Colonial Apartheid

South African apartheid was not unique, but one instance of racial domination systems that characterized the Western global order:

Jim Crow United States (1877-1965) - systemic racial segregation, disenfranchisement of Black Americans, separate and unequal facilities, lynching and racial terror, economic exploitation, and ideological justification through scientific racism. Jim Crow represented explicit racial apartheid within a supposed bastion of freedom and democracy. Its eventual dismantling (incomplete even

today) did not eliminate the underlying racial hierarchy but merely transformed its operation.

Colonial systems globally - Portuguese, Spanish, British, French, Belgian, Dutch, German, and Italian colonial regimes implemented racial domination systems throughout Africa, Asia, and Latin America. These featured racial hierarchy, forced labor, resource extraction, spatial segregation (colonial quarters vs. native areas), separate legal systems, systematic violence, and civilizing mission ideology. Colonial apartheid was more extreme and violent than South African apartheid, involving genocide, enslavement, and dispossession on vast scales.

Contemporary manifestations - while explicit legal apartheid has largely ended, structural patterns persist. Mass incarceration in the United States disproportionately affects Black Americans, Israeli treatment of Palestinians, European treatment of migrants and refugees, and ongoing neocolonial control and exploitation of Africa—all display apartheid logic through differential rights, systematic exclusion, and justified domination.

These systems reveal that apartheid is not a historical anomaly but a recurring feature of the Western paradigm whenever and wherever it gains dominance. The Western paradigm inherently produces racial hierarchy and hegemony, not as an accidental deviation but as a logical outcome of its consequentialist, statist, and Darwinian philosophical foundations. In other words, the Western paradigm is fundamentally racist and oriented toward racial hierarchy, conflict, and domination.

As demonstrated in section 4, evolutionary theory was explicitly and systematically deployed to justify racial hierarchy, colonial domination, eugenics, and ultimately genocide. The architects of apartheid, Jim Crow, and colonial systems drew directly on Darwinian racial “science.”

The three pillars of the Western paradigm—Darwinian anthropology, consequentialism, and statism—integrate to make racism not an incidental feature but a logical and intrinsic outcome. Darwinian anthropology naturalizes racial differences, consequentialism removes moral constraints, and statism provides institutional mechanisms for centralized control and concentration of power.

This explains why, despite significant changes in racial attitudes (overtly racist statements are now socially unacceptable in Western societies), structural racism persists. The philosophical foundations that generated explicit racism continue to operate and produce structural racism through mechanisms that appear neutral but systematically disadvantage non-European populations.

Mechanisms of Global Apartheid

Global apartheid functions through multiple integrated mechanisms that preserve Western hegemony while concealing its operation through technocratic language, seemingly scientific models, and humanitarian rhetoric. It functions through institutional rather than explicitly racial classification. It is maintained through monetary imperialism (fiat-dollar system), debt dependency, asymmetrical trade regimes, institutional capture and selective justice, disproportionate voting power in global institutions, sanctions and financial exclusion mechanisms, information warfare and narrative control, indoctrination and ideological hegemony, and technological monopoly and global surveillance.

Global apartheid is maintained not mainly through overt racial segregation but through structural violence. This includes statist economic systems, monetary frameworks, and institutional structures that perpetuate hierarchies and dependencies. Debt obligations, currency asymmetries, and financial conditionalities limit the autonomy of sovereign nations. Additionally, state-dominated development models are promoted not to foster genuine development but to maintain centralized control and manage populations.

The result is systemic dispossession masked as integration and continued exploitation masked as development assistance. While explicit racial doctrines have been largely repudiated, the historical legacy of racial hierarchy continues to shape geopolitical and economic realities. Power differentials are sustained through technocratic governance and normalized through narratives of progress and modernization that conceal the underlying structural violence.

The hierarchy is rarely articulated in racial language, yet it reflects historical power distributions profoundly intertwined with race and empire. Western states and institutions occupy centrally privileged positions within global governance, while most non-Western nations operate within constraints not of their own design.

The persistence of racial hierarchy is not merely historical inertia; it reflects Western ideological and institutional commitments—consequentialist ethics permits domination; statism centralizes power and control, domestically and internationally; and Darwinian anthropology naturalizes hierarchy and rivalry. Together, these premises render global stratification coherent within the paradigm.

This does not imply that every Western individual or institution consciously endorses racial supremacy. Instead, the system's architecture reflects inherent and historical patterns of racial

hegemony that have not been philosophically repudiated.

Global apartheid, like South African apartheid, requires ideological justification that portrays domination as natural, necessary, or beneficial. This functions through the myth of Western civilizational superiority. Western identity has been constructed in opposition to non-Western “others” portrayed as backward, barbaric, or inferior.

Contemporary discussions on issues such as democracy, human rights, economic development, and technological advancement serve similar functions to the explicit racial ideology seen during colonialism and apartheid. Specifically, they justify Western domination by claiming it benefits the dominated peoples, depict resistance as backward or extremist, and perpetuate a psychological form of colonization in which non-Westerners internalize the myth of Western racial and civilizational superiority.

From Global Apartheid to Civilized Global Community

Global apartheid—the racial hierarchy and structural domination that characterize the Western international order—is not a natural, inevitable, or permanent condition. It is the product of specific historical processes driven by particular philosophical premises (consequentialism, statism, and Darwinian anthropology), and maintained through specific mechanisms (monetary imperialism, institutional capture, narrative control, and military force).

Understanding global apartheid requires seeing beyond its sophisticated operation to recognize its fundamental similarity to explicit apartheid systems that have been condemned. The mechanisms differ—neocolonial rather than colonial, financial rather than legislative, technocratic rather than overtly racial—yet the structure and consequences are analogous: subordination of non-Western peoples to Western domination through deception, coercion, exploitation, and justified hierarchy.

The persistence of global apartheid despite the elimination of explicit racial ideology in Western societies reveals the inadequacy of focusing solely on attitudes and explicit discrimination. Structural racism persists through mechanisms that appear neutral but systematically produce racial outcomes. Similarly, global apartheid persists through institutions and practices that claim universality while serving Western hegemony.

Dismantling global apartheid requires more than reforms within existing frameworks; it requires paradigm transformation. The Western paradigm’s philosophical foundations necessarily generate racial hierarchy and domination. Only by rejecting these foundations and adopting an

alternative paradigm grounded in natural-moral law, universal human dignity, sovereign equality, and sound money can humanity move beyond the arbitrary governance, systemic corruption, structural violence, and sophisticated barbarism of the Western order toward a structurally just and civilized global community.

Africonomics provides this alternative; a principled and human-centered paradigm that recognizes objective moral truth, affirms human dignity and agency, and organizes human relations through natural-moral law rather than coercion and arbitrary rule. It offers a civilizational vision rooted not in hierarchy, rivalry, and perpetual conflict, but in justice, cooperation, and human moral nature. Only such a foundation can foster a truly civilized global order.

8. Why the Western Paradigm Is Fundamentally Barbaric

[This section adapts and expands subsection 4.4 from *The Africonomics Theory of International Relations: A Natural-Moral Framework for Civilized Global Order* (Tacanho, 2026), applying its analysis to the examination of the Western paradigm undertaken in this work.]

The Western consequentialist, statist, and Darwinian paradigm is not only philosophically incoherent and morally bankrupt; it is fundamentally and irredeemably barbaric. This is not rhetorical excess but a precise analytical description of a system that systematically violates every principle essential to civilized human existence. The paradigm is structurally destabilizing to human dignity and flourishing. It rests on an anti-human anthropology, institutionalizes comprehensive violence, elevates domination as an organizing principle, and rejects the natural-moral law foundations essential for a structurally just, peaceful, and civilized social order at every level—individual, familial, communal, national, and international.

The Western paradigm's fundamental opposition to human dignity and flourishing constitutes a moral and civilizational atrocity—a structural crime against humanity committed not through episodic acts of violence but through systemic operation of institutions, policies, and practices that treat human beings as expendable means to collective ends, that subordinate moral truth to outcome calculation, and that normalize violence, exploitation, and domination as inevitable features of human existence.

Barbarism Defined Precisely

The term barbaric is used here deliberately and analytically, not as rhetorical or moral hyperbole. Understanding what makes a system barbaric requires a precise definition that distinguishes actual barbarism from mere crudeness, backwardness, or technological primitivity. Barbarism is not defined by the absence of cultural sophistication or artistic refinement; by a lack of technological advancement or scientific knowledge; by simplicity of institutional structures or economic organization; or by failure to achieve material prosperity or military power.

A society can possess high culture, advanced technology, complex institutions, and great wealth while remaining fundamentally barbaric. Indeed, the most dangerous barbarism is often sophisticated—dressed in academic theory, legitimized through law, rationalized through technical expertise, and concealed beneath the veneer of civilization.

Barbarism is defined by the systematic denial of human dignity; the subordination of human beings to arbitrary power; the elevation of force over justice; the rejection of objective moral principles; the instrumentalization of moral language; and the normalization of violence.

By this principled criterion, the Western paradigm qualifies unequivocally as barbaric.

It *denies human dignity* by reducing people to advanced animals, utility maximizers, or statistical units rather than moral agents with inherent worth and undeniable natural rights. It *subordinates humans to arbitrary power* by concentrating comprehensive coercive authority in states unconstrained by objective moral principles, enabling domination justified through consequentialist or Darwinian logic. It *elevates force over justice* by treating power as self-justifying, by normalizing war as a policy instrument, by maintaining global order through military hegemony and economic coercion rather than through structural justice and moral legitimacy.

It *rejects moral constraints* through consequentialism that subordinates all principles to outcome-based calculation, making everything potentially permissible if consequences appear sufficiently favorable—including genocide and extermination. It *instrumentalizes moral language* by preserving rights vocabulary while treating rights as conditional and revocable interests subject to balancing, by invoking justice to justify injustice, and by deploying humanitarian rhetoric to mask imperial aggression. It *normalizes violence* by treating war as normal rather than exceptional, sanctions that cause mass civilian suffering and death as acceptable pressure, economic exploitation as natural competition, and domination as an inevitable outcome of power differentials.

The Reduction of Societies to Competing Beasts

At the core of the Western paradigm's barbarism lies its fundamental anthropological error (examined in depth in section 4): the reduction of human beings and human societies to animals engaged in amoral, violent competition for survival and dominance. This is not metaphorical language or analytical simplification but the explicit theoretical foundation that undergirds the entire system. The Western animalistic conception of human nature carries catastrophic implications for social order, human relations, and civilization.

When humans are conceived as advanced animals—products of random and conflictual evolutionary processes, driven by survival imperatives, and responding to material incentives—rather than as moral agents created with reason, conscience, free will, and dignity, the logical conclusion follows inexorably that human relations at all levels must be governed by the same amoral principles that govern animal behavior, such as territorial competition, dominance hierarchies, predation of the weak by the strong, and violent elimination of rivals.

This animalistic reduction manifests across all dimensions of the Western paradigm:

In economic theory and policy, markets are modeled as mechanical interactions among utility-maximizing atoms rather than as human cooperation for mutual benefit. The economy is framed and treated as a machine to be centrally managed. Entrepreneurial competition is viewed as a survival struggle rather than a peaceful rivalry. Success is attributed to superior fitness rather than productive excellence combined with institutional justice. And failure is blamed on individual or cultural deficiency rather than on prevailing structural injustice. The result is an economics that cannot account for human moral nature, ethical action, or social cooperation grounded in principles rather than utilitarian calculation.

In political theory and governance, politics is reduced to power competition and a struggle for dominance among individuals, factions, and nations. Democratic participation is modeled as an expression of preferences rather than deliberation about the common good. Leadership is treated as alpha dominance rather than stewardship. Policy is evaluated by its effectiveness in achieving objectives rather than by its conformity to justice. The result is politics that cannot sustain civic virtue, public-spiritedness, social peace, or a genuine commitment to the common good that transcends factional interests.

In social analysis, social relations are explained through evolutionary psychology as sophisticated versions of animal behaviors. Family formation is reduced to a reproductive strategy. Cooperation

is explained as reciprocal altruism or kin selection serving genetic interests. Morality is portrayed as evolved programming rather than recognition of objective truth. And culture is treated as an adaptive response to environmental pressures rather than as the transmission of accumulated wisdom about life.

In international relations, as demonstrated in the Africonomics Theory of International Relations, the animalistic reduction produces theories that frame states as predators and prey in a perpetual power struggle; war as natural selection among nations; alliances as temporary convenience among competitors; morality as strategic rhetoric; and justice as whatever serves the powerful's interests.

The Western reduction of humans to animalistic beings is not only an analytical and philosophical mistake; it is a moral and civilizational disaster. It provides intellectual justification for treating people as mere animals to be coerced, dominated, exploited, controlled, optimized, and ultimately discarded. If humans are animals, then treating them as such seems rational rather than barbaric. Exploitation is viewed as natural competition, domination becomes a way to establish a hierarchy, violence is seen as a survival necessity, and coercion and manipulation are framed as behavior optimization. Comprehensive state control is likened to herd management, and elimination or extermination is justified as natural selection.

When human relations are viewed as fundamentally rivalrous and hostile instead of collaborative, zero-sum thinking is seen as realistic rather than pathological. In such a context, trust appears irrational, commitment turns into a strategic calculation, and acts of sacrifice are seen as evolutionary mistakes. This mindset leads to isolation, widespread distrust, social disintegration, conflict, and an inability to maintain a just, stable, and civilized society.

The historical record confirms this pattern. Every ideology and regime that has viewed humans as advanced animals—be it Social Darwinism, eugenics, scientific racism, or totalitarian ideologies—has produced barbarism proportionate to its consistency in applying its premises. In other words, an animalistic conception of humans produces animalistic treatment of humans.

War, Domination, and Genocide as Logical Endpoints

The barbaric premises of the Western paradigm—consequentialism that removes moral constraints, statism that centralizes coercive power, and Darwinian anthropology that denies human moral nature—lead logically, necessarily, and historically to barbaric outcomes: perpetual war, systematic domination, and ultimately genocide. These are not aberrations, implementation

failures, or violations of the paradigm's principles; they are its intrinsic, predictable, and inevitable results that flow from its foundational assumptions.

Perpetual war as a systemic feature - when international relations are fundamentally a competitive struggle for power and survival among amoral state-actors (Darwinian premise), and when no objective moral principles constrain this competition (consequentialist premise), and when states possess concentrated coercive power unconstrained by genuine accountability (statist premise), war becomes the normal condition of international affairs rather than exceptional breakdown that requires explanation and justification.

Peace, in this paradigm, is merely a temporary pause in an inevitable struggle—an unstable equilibrium maintained by mutual fear (deterrence), a favorable power distribution (hegemony), or a fortuitous alignment of strategic interests (cooperation). Yet such peace is inherently fragile because its foundations rest on power politics and strategic calculation rather than moral commitment, on threat rather than trust, on temporary advantage rather than structural justice.

The empirical evidence confirms the barbarism:

- The United States has been at war for over 90% of its existence as a nation, not through unfortunate circumstances but through deliberate foreign policy that uses military force as a routine instrument.
- Europe's peace since 1945 has been maintained not through justice or genuine reconciliation but through overwhelming American military presence, nuclear terror balancing, and common subordination to U.S. hegemony.
- The global landscape is severely scarred by Western aggression, including continuous proxy wars, military interventions, coups, regime change operations, sanctions, and armed conflicts that serve hegemonic interests.
- Trillions of dollars flow annually to military expenditures while populations lack basic necessities.
- War is planned for, prepared for, and treated as a normal policy option that requires only strategic justification rather than moral justification through stringent just war criteria.

The Western paradigm has normalized what should be recognized as a moral catastrophe: permanent military mobilization, continuous warfare, relentless preparation for mass killing, and treatment of war as a policy instrument that requires only calculation of costs and benefits.

Domination as natural order - when might makes right (consequentialist and Darwinian premises

combined), when survival of the fittest governs human relations (Darwinian premise), and when concentrated state power requires no moral justification beyond effectiveness (statist premise), powerful nations naturally dominate weaker ones. This domination is not seen as an injustice that requires condemnation and resistance but as a natural order that reflects fitness differentials and power dynamics.

The architecture of the current global order reflects this logic of domination. It is evident in several areas:

- Control of international institutions - Western nations maintain control through practices such as Security Council vetoes, weighted voting in financial organizations, and influence over various agencies.
- Unilateral actions - there is a tendency for Western countries to impose unilateral sanctions and intervene in the affairs of weaker nations whenever it aligns with their hegemonic interests. In these cases, violations of sovereignty are dismissed or justified.
- Economic exploitation and neocolonial control are often masked as development assistance, trade agreements, and financial integration.
- Denial of genuine sovereignty - non-Western countries are systematically denied genuine sovereignty, as they are allowed to govern themselves only within parameters set by Western powers.
- Selective application of international law: the weak are punished while the powerful face little to no consequences for their violations.
- Cultural and ideological hegemony that subordinates non-Western knowledge, values, and institutions.

This is not aberrant imperialism or isolated instances of nations exceeding their legitimate authority. It is the intrinsic and structural logic of a system organized on power over principle. Instead of justice and dignity, domination is the organizing principle, making global apartheid (examined in section 7) inherent, not incidental.

Genocide as the ultimate and most horrifying logic - when people are viewed through a Darwinian lens as animalistic groups engaged in a relentless competition for survival and dominance, moral constraints are dismissed as weaknesses or sentimentalism that impede survival and hegemony, the strong are seen to have a natural right to dominate, eliminate, or exterminate the weak as an expression of superior fitness, and when the destruction of rival populations is seen as a means to enhance one's own group's security and power, genocide becomes conceivable, even rational,

especially under threatening circumstances or when it aligns with strategic objectives.

The progression to genocide is not a theoretical speculation but a documented historical reality. The colonial genocides of indigenous populations in the Americas, Australia, and Africa were justified through the belief that superior European races had a natural right to displace those they considered inferior. This ideology asserted that the survival of the fittest justified the elimination of the weak and that civilizational progress required the extermination of what they deemed savagery.

Contemporary genocides and ethnic cleansings continue to flow from such premises that view international and inter-group relations through a Darwinian lens, where eliminating adversarial populations is strategically rational. For instance, the Rwandan genocide (1994) is rooted in colonial racial classifications and Darwinian competition between Hutu and Tutsi. Similarly, the Bosnian genocide (1995) was justified through ethnic nationalism and survival imperatives.

The Western paradigm cannot consistently condemn genocide because genocide can be justified through its own logic under certain circumstances. Genocide is its logical endpoint. Consequentialism permits genocide if calculation suggests that benefits (security, resources, racial hegemony) exceed costs (victims' lives, moral objections, international condemnation); Darwinian anthropology naturalizes elimination of competing groups as an extension of survival logic; and statism concentrates power that enables industrial-scale killing and provides bureaucratic mechanisms for implementation.

When human nature is animalized, when moral law is denied, when power requires no moral justification, violence escalates predictably from competition to domination to elimination. The Western paradigm is thus inherently genocidal—not because it always produces genocide but because it contains no objective moral constraints that prevent genocide when circumstances align, and because the historical record demonstrates so.

Sophisticated Barbarism: Violence Concealed by Institutional Complexity

What distinguishes the modern Western order from crude historical barbarism, such as tribal warfare, warlord rule, or open tyranny, is not the absence or reduction of violence but its sophistication. Contemporary barbarism functions through elaborate institutional mechanisms, legal frameworks, technical expertise, and moralized rhetoric that obscure its violent nature and make recognition and resistance more difficult.

This sophisticated barbarism is, in crucial respects, more dangerous and destructive than crude

barbarism precisely because its violence is *disguised* through institutional complexity and technical language that conceal violence beneath bureaucratic procedures and policy frameworks, making it harder to recognize as violence; *legitimized* through legal authorization and democratic processes that provide a veneer of legitimacy to systematic aggression and exploitation; *normalized* through the routine operation of established institutions, making violence appear as a natural feature of social order; *rationalized* through academic theories and expert opinions that provide sophisticated justifications for violent practices as necessary, beneficial, or unavoidable.; and *systematized* through institutional entrenchment, ensuring that violence operates continuously and automatically rather than depending on individual decisions or episodic actions.

Violence in the modern Western order operates primarily through structural mechanisms rather than direct physical force. The mechanisms of sophisticated barbarism include:

- Economic sanctions that function as weapons of mass destruction, starving civilian populations while claiming a moral high ground as “peaceful” pressure.
- Financial systems that enable the systematic extraction of wealth from the periphery to the core through debt arrangements, fiat monetary manipulation, and capital flows.
- Currency debasement that systematically transfers wealth from the majority to governments and elites while imposing chronic economic instability.
- A trade architecture designed to benefit powerful nations while hampering weaker nations’ development.
- Proxy wars that devastate peripheral societies while allowing great powers to compete without direct confrontation.
- Humanitarian pretexts that disguise imperial aggression as rescue missions.
- A regulatory apparatus that places nearly all aspects of human life under state control, management, and bureaucratic permission.
- Confiscatory levels of taxation that coercively and systematically dispossess populations of 30-50% of their income.

This violence is institutionalized through international organizations, legalized through selective application of international law, and rationalized through flawed, ideologically-driven theories that appear scientifically rigorous. Aggression is masked by legal language, harm is obscured by technical complexity, and domination is justified through consequentialist arguments about net benefits or strategic necessity.

The sophistication of contemporary barbarism, its deployment of reason, science, law, and

institutional authority to justify and execute systemic violence, represents a more insidious moral corruption than open brutality. Barbarism clothed in legality, technical expertise, and institutional respectability is not civilized; it is barbarism made more dangerous, more systematized, and harder to challenge.

Systematic deception and linguistic manipulation hide structural violence beneath a technocratic veneer, making constructive discourse nearly impossible. This involves not only material violence but also intellectual violence against the moral clarity and vocabulary essential for civilized human relations.

Structural Violence and the Illusion of Civilization

Defenders of the Western paradigm might argue that, despite its flaws, the current global order represents civilizational progress. They claim it is more peaceful than historical alternatives, operates within the framework of institutions and laws, is guided by reason rather than brute force, and is continually improving through reform. However, this argument is fundamentally flawed because it overlooks or downplays structural violence—continuous, systematic harm inflicted through institutional mechanisms, rather than through isolated acts of direct violence.

As articulated in *The Structural Violence of Socialist Systems: An Africonomics Refutation of Socialism and Coercive Governance* (Tacanho, 2026):

Unlike visible or direct violence, such as assault, warfare, or explicit coercion by identifiable actors, structural violence operates indirectly and continuously. It manifests wherever people are harmed, impoverished, repressed, or dispossessed by systems they did not consent to and cannot meaningfully escape. Its defining feature is that aggression is disguised, institutionalized, diffused, normalized, and legitimized through law, policy, and ideology, and thus not recognized as violence.

Structural violence is violence nonetheless, and the Western order inflicts massive structural violence. Statist economic systems condemn billions to preventable poverty while enriching elites. Debt arrangements trap nations in dependency, stagnation, and servitude. Fiat monetary systems enable powerful nations to export inflation, instability, and crisis to dependent economies. And sanctions regimes cause mass starvation, medical devastation, and social collapse.

The existing heavily statist architecture of coercion, control, repression, and exploitation constitutes severe structural violence. It is violence that kills and harms no less than bombs and bullets, through malnutrition, preventable disease, economic privation, environmental poisoning, and social disintegration. Yet it is rendered invisible or attributed to natural economic forces, personal failures, or cultural deficiencies rather than recognized as violence for which specific

actors and systems bear moral responsibility.

An order built on such systemic violence and harm cannot legitimately claim to be civilized, regardless of its material wealth, institutional complexity, or rhetorical commitments to democracy, peace, and human rights. The sophistication and complexity of contemporary systems do not negate their violent nature; they obscure it, making the barbarism more difficult to recognize and therefore more dangerous. A system that kills millions through policy mechanisms is not more civilized than one that kills through direct violence—it is simply more sophisticated in its barbarism.

Why Systems Built on Violence Cannot Be Civilized

Civilization and violence are fundamentally incompatible. This is not merely a pragmatic observation about the structural injustice and instability of violent systems but a conceptual truth about the nature of civilization itself. Understanding why requires clarity about what civilization actually is and why violence necessarily negates it.

True civilization requires moral grounding and restraint—respect for life and dignity, commitment to justice, adherence to truth, and peaceful resolution of conflict through reason and mutual accommodation. Systems built on violence, whether crude physical force or sophisticated structural coercion and deception, negate these foundations and therefore cannot be civilized.

Violence degrades everything it touches. It reduces human beings to objects to be manipulated, harmed, or destroyed. It denies the dignity, moral agency, and inherent worth that define humanity. When violence becomes the foundation of social order, whether domestic or international, it corrupts every institution and relationship, making structural justice, productive peace, and genuine prosperity impossible.

The Western paradigm is built on coercion, deception, and violence at every level. Military force underwrites the entire system through hundreds of billions spent on weapons, global base networks, nuclear arsenals, and permanent readiness for war. Economic coercion operates through sanctions, debt dependency, and fiat monetary manipulation. Political interference functions through coups, color revolutions, and systematic subversion of sovereignty. And cultural domination proceeds through media control, educational indoctrination, and ideological hegemony.

This pervasive violence cannot be reconciled with civilization. The claim that sophisticated

institutional mechanisms make the system less violent than historical alternatives misses the point: structural violence is still violence, institutional barbarism is still barbarism, and legalized aggression is still aggression. The sophistication of methods does not transform injustice into justice or barbarism into civilization.

Moreover, violence perpetuates itself through cycles of retaliation, revenge, and escalating harm. A global order built on violence necessarily produces perpetual conflict because violence inflicted demands a response, which provokes counter-response, generating endless cycles that cannot be escaped within the violent framework. Only by transcending violence through commitment to natural-moral law can these cycles be broken.

The Western Order Cannot Be Reformed Into Civilization

Because the Western paradigm is fundamentally based on violence at every level, including its philosophical foundations (consequentialism that removes moral constraints and Darwinism that animalizes human nature), institutional structures (statism that concentrates coercive and arbitrary power), and practical operations (war, exploitation, and domination)—it cannot be reformed into true civilization through adjustments, modifications, or improvements within the paradigm.

The violence that characterizes the Western order—such as perpetual war, economic exploitation, comprehensive regulation, monetary manipulation, and structural coercion—is not an aberration or implementation failure but a necessary consequence of the paradigm's premises. Consequentialism requires sacrificing individuals to outcomes, statism requires concentrated coercive power, and Darwinism legitimizes domination. Remove violence and the paradigm cannot stand.

The three pillars—consequentialism, statism, and Darwinism—mutually reinforce each other, making it difficult to reform one while maintaining the others. It is impossible to eliminate consequentialism while keeping statism because statism relies on consequentialist flexibility to justify systemic coercion. Conversely, one cannot remove statism while preserving consequentialism, as consequentialist outcome-optimization requires comprehensive control. It is similarly not possible to discard Darwinism while retaining either of the other two pillars, since both consequentialism and statism rest on denying human moral nature, which would otherwise limit power and require consistent respect for individual rights and dignity.

The Western paradigm is not a collection of independent elements but an integrated system in

which each component relies on and reinforces the others. Understanding this integration is crucial, as the violence inherent in this system is not a flaw but a fundamental aspect of its design. Systems built on the denial of human dignity, the rejection of objective morality, and the concentration of arbitrary power cannot be civilized, because violence is their organizing principle.

Achieving true civilization requires paradigm replacement, not reform. It requires the categorical rejection of consequentialism, statism, and Darwinian anthropology, and their replacement with natural-moral law, voluntary cooperation, and a moral anthropology that recognizes humans as moral agents rather than mechanistic or animalistic beings. This is a paradigm transformation, not a policy adjustment.

Civilizational Decline as Empirical Evidence

Beyond moral and philosophical bankruptcy, the Western paradigm's practical failure is evident across various dimensions. Current crises—such as endless geopolitical conflict, chronic economic instability, demographic decline, cultural fragmentation, moral nihilism, family breakdown, institutional corruption, and systemic dysfunction—are not random occurrences; they are destructive consequences of sustained violations of natural-moral law. A society that denies transcendent dignity cannot sustain family stability; a system that normalizes coercion and deception cannot maintain legitimacy; and an economy built on manipulation cannot maintain trust and stability.

The decay is both internal and external. The paradigm consumes itself.

These are not isolated problems but symptoms of a comprehensive civilizational failure—the predictable outcome of building society on false philosophical foundations that deny human nature, reject moral truth, and organize social life around violence and domination rather than justice, liberty, and dignity.

Naming Barbarism and Rejecting It

In conclusion, the Western consequentialist, statist, and Darwinian paradigm is barbaric in its philosophical foundations, barbaric in its institutional operations, and barbaric in its practical outcomes. This is not a rhetorical excess but a precise analytical description of a system that reduces humans to animals, denies objective morality, normalizes violence, institutionalizes injustice, systematically violates human dignity, and structurally suppresses human flourishing.

It is not a flawed paradigm that requires reform but sophisticated barbarism that requires unequivocal rejection. The sophistication—elaborate theories, seemingly scientific models, complex institutions, technical expertise, and moralized rhetoric—does not civilize barbarism but makes it more dangerous by obscuring its true nature and making resistance more difficult.

Africonomics refuses to accept this barbarism as inevitable, natural, or realistic. It names it for what it is—organized violence against human dignity and objective moral truth, comprehensive denial of human nature and divine creation, and systematic institutionalization of injustice and exploitation—and rejects it categorically. In its place, Africonomics offers a paradigm grounded in natural-moral law, accurate anthropology, and commitment to actual civilization characterized by structural justice, human dignity, and productive peace.

The choice before humanity is whether to persist with sophisticated barbarism that masquerades as civilization while producing perpetual conflict, structural violence, and civilizational decline, or to embrace the natural-moral law foundations that make true civilization possible. Only by recognizing the current paradigm's barbarism and rejecting it can humanity move toward a structurally just, peaceful, and civilized global order.

9. Replacing the Western Paradigm: Foundations for a Civilized Order

The crises afflicting the modern world are paradigmatic, not procedural. Adjusting tax codes, reshuffling political leadership, recalibrating interest rates, or refining diplomatic strategy cannot resolve the prevailing moral bankruptcy, institutional corruption, and structural disorder rooted in fundamentally flawed philosophical premises. Reform within the existing framework is insufficient because the framework itself is the problem. What is required is not modification but replacement—a categorical rejection of the Western consequentialist, statist, and Darwinian paradigm and its replacement with foundations capable of sustaining structural justice, productive peace, and human flourishing.

Natural-Moral Law as the Objective Foundation

Any legitimate social order, from individual relationships to international institutions, must be grounded in *natural-moral law*: objective moral principles that are universal, knowable through reason, rooted in human nature and divine creation, and binding on all persons and institutions

regardless of circumstance, power, or strategic calculation.

Natural-moral law is not a cultural construct, strategic preference, or social convention subject to negotiation or revision. It reflects the objective moral structure of human existence itself, the way human beings actually are, and how they must be treated if civilization is to be possible. Core principles include:

- *Truth* - honesty in communication, transparency in institutions, faithfulness to commitments.
- *Justice* - rendering what is due, respecting rights, treating moral equals equally, proportionality in response.
- *Nonaggression* - categorical prohibition on initiating force or coercion against innocent persons.
- *Human dignity* - recognition of inherent worth grounding inalienable rights.
- *Property and voluntary exchange* - respect for legitimate acquisition, prohibition on theft, including theft through taxation, inflation, and regulation.

These principles guide and constrain all actors: individuals, communities, corporations, governments, and nations. They establish the boundaries of legitimate authority, define the meaning of justice, and provide the conditions under which a fundamentally just, peaceful, dignified, and prosperous social order becomes possible.

Under natural-moral law, no end justifies intrinsically unjust means (consequentialist override is categorically prohibited); human beings may never be treated merely as instruments to collective ends or cogs in state machinery; sovereignty does not license aggression, it is responsibility bounded by moral principles; and power does not determine right, moral truth constrains power regardless of its magnitude.

Moral law precedes and constrains political authority. It constrains arbitrary rule and enables coherent, stable, and just governance. Without such standards, social order collapses into sophisticated barbarism where might makes right. Civilization is impossible where moral principle is denied, negotiable, or subordinate to outcome-based calculation. Natural-moral law provides the stable, objective foundation for structurally just, peaceful, and civilized human relations at every level—individual, familial, communal, national, and international.

Moral Anthropology and Universal Human Dignity

Replacing the Western paradigm requires restoring a truthful understanding of human nature.

The Darwinian reduction of humans to advanced animals driven by biological imperatives must be rejected entirely in favor of moral anthropology grounded in theological and philosophical truth.

Human beings are moral agents created by God, endowed with the ability to think rationally, grasp truth, understand causes, and pursue knowledge. They possess a moral consciousness, an innate sense of right and wrong, ethical discernment, and the experience of moral obligation. Moreover, they have free will, which gives them the genuine capacity to choose among alternatives and bear responsibility for their choices. Lastly, humans possess inherent dignity, rooted in divine creation and independent of their abilities, usefulness, or social recognition.

Human societies are moral communities because they are composed of individuals who are moral agents rather than merely evolved animals. These societies are organized through institutions that reflect collective choices, uphold shared moral responsibilities, and bear responsibility for collective actions. Nations are not predatory beasts locked in perpetual rivalry and Darwinian struggle; instead, they are human communities that can recognize their obligations, exercise restraint, pursue justice, and cooperate peacefully.

From this accurate anthropology follow essential commitments:

- *Universal moral equality* - all persons possess equal inherent dignity regardless of race, nation, capacity, or circumstance.
- *Rejection of racial hierarchy* - no human group may claim inherent racial superiority that justifies domination.
- *Inalienable rights* - grounded in dignity rather than utility, these rights cannot be legitimately violated even to serve collective goals. The natural individual rights are: life, liberty, and ownership of self and justly acquired property.
- *Moral accountability* - both individuals and collectives bear responsibility for aligning their conduct with natural-moral law.
- *Capacity for civilization* - humans are capable of virtue, structural justice, peaceful cooperation, and sustained commitment to collaborative flourishing.

This anthropology dismantles the philosophical roots of domination and global apartheid. As dignity is universal, claims to racial hierarchy and superiority collapse. As moral agency is real, people cannot be reduced to statistical units, resource inputs, or administrative material for state control and social engineering. As humans are beings created with dignity and agency, mechanistic or animalistic treatment of populations is an egregious violation of natural-moral law

and a blasphemy against divine creation.

From Statism to Structural Justice

Statism, the concentration of coercive and arbitrary power in centralized state institutions that claim authority over all aspects of human life, must be replaced by principled social organization grounded in voluntary cooperation, subsidiarity, and consistent respect for natural rights.

The Africonomics Theory of Structural Justice (ATSJ) establishes that structural justice—legal, institutional, and relational architecture grounded in natural-moral law, that protects self-ownership and property rights, and rejects coercion, exploitation, and arbitrary power—is foundational to a civilized, dignified, and genuinely prosperous society. Wealth accumulated through statist extraction and exploitation is hollow and unsustainable. True prosperity flows from a structurally just social order that enables peaceful human flourishing.

Replacing statism requires:

Limited government - political authority strictly constrained to protecting rights (life, liberty, property), administering justice through impartial courts, and providing genuine public goods—no comprehensive administrative control over peaceful activity, no social engineering, and no technocratic economic management.

Subsidiarity - decisions must be made at the most local level competent to address them. Families, communities, and voluntary associations handle most coordination. The national government is only for truly national matters. International institutions are only for issues that legitimately require global cooperation—with strictly limited mandates, voluntary participation, and no coercive enforcement.

Voluntary exchange replaces coercive extraction, establishing economic relationships based on mutual consent and benefit. No confiscatory taxation, no fiat inflation that systematically destroys savings, and no regulatory prohibition of peaceful activities. Sound money enables honest exchange and economic justice. Property rights protect from arbitrary dispossession.

Decentralization that prevents the concentration of power by creating multiple competing jurisdictions, providing easy exit options, establishing constitutional constraints on authority, separating powers, and ensuring democratic accountability. There should be no centralized global governance, no hegemonic dominance, and no institutions beyond meaningful democratic control or moral accountability.

Rejection of imperial intervention - no aggressive war, no regime change operations, no coups and covert subversion, no economic sanctions that deliberately target civilian populations, and no weaponization of finance and trade. Genuine respect for sovereignty as a moral responsibility within natural-moral law constraints.

Justice requires restraining power, not concentrating it. Domination thrives where state power is comprehensive and concentrated. Flourishing emerges where power is limited, dispersed, and constrained by principle. The ethical ordering of society based on natural-moral law is essential to moving beyond the arbitrary governance, institutional corruption, technocratic tyranny, structural violence, and sophisticated barbarism of modern statism.

Sound Money and Just Economic Relations

The Africonomics Theory of Monetary Justice (ATMJ) provides a moral, philosophical, and economic refutation of fractional reserve banking and fiat currency systems, exposing them as fundamentally fraudulent, economically violent, and destructive to human flourishing. Monetary integrity is foundational to economic justice and civilized order. Fiat manipulation enables systematic wealth extraction through inflation theft, debt dependency traps nations in neocolonial subordination, and currency weaponization enforces hegemonic domination. Sound money is not a technical preference but a moral and civilizational imperative.

A civilized global order requires:

Sound money - currency that maintains stable value through natural scarcity (gold, Bitcoin, or similar commodity backing) rather than unlimited government creation. No central banking that manipulates interest rates and the money supply; no legal tender laws that force the acceptance of depreciating fiat currency; and no currency debasement that systematically confiscates purchasing power.

Monetary sovereignty - each nation has the freedom to choose its own monetary system without being subordinate to foreign central banks or the dominance of a reserve currency. No dollar dominance that forces countries into compliance with U.S. monetary policy and imperial interests. No unilateral sanctions through weaponized payment systems. No currency manipulation that exports inflation, instability, and impoverishment.

Free and voluntary trade - exchanges must be based on mutual benefit, without coercion. No structural adjustment conditions that force policy changes. No trade agreements that require market opening while allowing protections for powerful nations. No intellectual property

maximalism that prevents technology transfer and access to medicine. Actual free markets and mutual gain, not statist centralized control and managed extraction.

Elimination of debt imperialism - cancellation of odious debts accumulated under coercive conditions. No loan conditionalities that violate economic sovereignty. No debt traps that create permanent dependency. Nations are free to default on unsustainable obligations without catastrophic consequences.

Prosperity must arise from productive enterprise, voluntary cooperation, and sound money, not from financial engineering, monetary manipulation, or coercive extraction disguised as market integration.

Principled International Relations

The Africonomics Theory of International Relations (ATIR) establishes that global order cannot be stabilized through power politics, monetary manipulation, military domination, or strategic deterrence. It must be grounded in natural-moral law applied to relations among nations as moral communities. There is no ethical free zone between nations, no realm where power displaces principle, no domain exempt from truth and justice. Nations, like the individuals who compose them, are moral agents constrained by objective standards that transcend strategic calculation and political advantage.

The Western paradigm treats global politics as an amoral struggle where nations are predators, war is a policy instrument, civilians are collateral damage, and exploitation is competitive success. This is presented as realism but it is actually barbarism. Real realism is moral realism—recognition that moral principles exist as inherent features of reality, that humans are moral agents capable of ethical choice and peaceful cooperation. Systems that deny these objective truths are flawed, pseudoscientific, and inevitably fail.

Civilized international order requires:

Nonaggression as a categorical norm - war is morally permissible only for legitimate self-defense against actual aggression, under stringent just war criteria. Wars of aggression, preemptive strikes, regime change operations, and imperial intervention cloaked in humanitarian rhetoric are all categorically prohibited.

Sovereign equality - all nations are recognized as possessing equal moral status and rights regardless of power, wealth, or culture. International law must apply equally to all. No permanent

privileges or veto powers for great powers. Decisions that require collective action must be made through just processes that respect dignity and sovereign equality.

Justice-based cooperation - international institutions must be structured on principles rather than power. This means voluntary participation with freedom to exit, limited mandates to prevent mission creep, and transparent governance with real accountability. Resources must be allocated according to contribution, not imposed through coercion.

Peaceful dispute resolution - principled mechanisms for resolving conflicts through negotiation, mediation, and arbitration. International courts with clear jurisdiction, fair procedures, and equal application of law. All peaceful alternatives must be exhausted before any consideration of force, and the use of force requires multilateral authorization that meets all just war criteria.

Respect for diversity within moral standards - cultural pluralism and diverse development paths are respected, provided they honor fundamental rights and natural-moral law principles. No imposed uniformity, no civilizational hierarchy, and no ideological hegemony. Multiple legitimate approaches to governance and development, so long as they remain fundamentally ethical and structurally just.

Peace cannot be achieved through balance-of-power deterrence alone; such peace is hollow and inherently unstable, maintained through mutual fear rather than moral commitment. Genuine peace requires justice: relationships structured according to moral principles, mutual respect for sovereignty and dignity, voluntary cooperation, and institutional mechanisms that enable conflict resolution without violence.

The Path Forward: From Barbarism to Civilization

Paradigm transformation is intellectually and practically challenging. The Western paradigm's comprehensive dominance—philosophical, institutional, economic, cultural, technological, and military—creates dependencies and resistance to change. Although there is significant pushback from those who benefit from the current system, a fundamental transformation is both necessary and achievable.

Institutionalized coercion, deception, exploitation, and violence need not continue to define human relations within and among nations indefinitely. A structurally just, peaceful, and civilized world is possible and morally imperative. Failure to pursue such a world through principled frameworks grounded in natural-moral law amounts to a fundamental betrayal of human vocation. It constitutes a crime against humanity and civilization.

Humanity faces a fundamental choice: persist with the Western paradigm's sophisticated barbarism—consequentialism that subordinates morality to outcome-based calculation, statism that concentrates coercive power, and Darwinian anthropology that animalizes human nature—or embrace foundations that make true civilization possible.

The current trajectory leads toward intensified control, dehumanization, perpetual conflict, systemic injustice, and existential risks, including nuclear annihilation, economic collapse, or comprehensive social disintegration. This is not natural or inevitable; it is the consequence of building societies on false philosophical foundations that deny human moral nature and reject objective ethical truth.

The alternative paradigm exists: natural-moral law, accurate anthropology, voluntary cooperation, sound money, structural justice, and principled international relations provide philosophical foundations and a practical framework for a civilized and thriving order at every level, from the individual to the international. Africonomics articulates this alternative, not as a utopian fantasy but as moral realism grounded in a correct understanding of what human beings are, what principles actually bind human action and institutional design, and what conditions enable peaceful flourishing.

The task is not to perfect domination through more sophisticated control mechanisms but to end it entirely by recognizing that *civilization begins where power submits to principle*. Power unconstrained by objective ethical truth is barbarism regardless of technological sophistication, institutional complexity, or rhetorical refinement.

The foundations exist. What remains is the moral courage to build upon them; to reject the sophisticated barbarism that has dominated global order for centuries and to construct actual civilization worthy of human vocation and dignity.

10. Conclusion: Beyond the Logic of Domination

This paper has provided a comprehensive examination and refutation of the philosophical foundations that underpin the modern Western order. The analysis demonstrates that contemporary Western political, economic, social, and international institutions are not merely flawed in implementation or corrupted in practice but are grounded in fundamentally barbaric premises that necessarily produce injustice, violence, and civilizational decline.

Three mutually reinforcing pillars form the Western paradigm:

Consequentialism reduces morality to outcome calculation, subordinating intrinsic moral principles to strategic goals and enabling rationalization of any action—including genocide, slavery, and systemic exploitation—through appeals to beneficial consequences or necessary means. By denying objective moral principles that are binding regardless of outcomes and by institutionalizing moral subjectivism, consequentialism removes principled barriers to barbarism and makes everything potentially permissible through calculation.

Statism concentrates coercive and arbitrary power in centralized state institutions that claim authority over all aspects of human life—economic production, social relations, cultural practices, family formation, education, information, and even bodily integrity. This concentration of power, unconstrained by genuine accountability or objective moral limits, enables systematic domination, exploitation, and violence legitimized through law and rationalized through technocratic expertise.

Darwinian anthropology denies human dignity and moral agency by reducing humans to advanced animals driven by biological imperatives and material interests rather than by reason, conscience, and free will. This animalistic reduction eliminates the philosophical foundation for human dignity, rights, and moral responsibility, naturalizing hierarchy and domination as evolutionary facts rather than injustices that require condemnation and resistance.

These three pillars are philosophically integrated components of a coherent worldview, each of which requires and reinforces the others. Consequentialism enables statism by justifying coercive control through appeals to collective welfare. Statism requires consequentialism to rationalize coercive and arbitrary power. Darwinian anthropology legitimizes both by denying the human moral nature that would constrain domination. Together they form a merged, self-reinforcing system resistant to internal reform that generates sophisticated barbarism.

The Logic of Domination

The integration of consequentialism, statism, and Darwinian anthropology produces what this paper has identified as the logic of domination—the systematic subordination of human beings to arbitrary power, manifested across every dimension of social organization:

Domestic authoritarianism imposes a comprehensive system of administrative control over the peaceful activities of individuals. This system relies on regulations that prohibit, mandate, and restrict voluntary actions. It includes surveillance mechanisms that monitor the population, a

criminal justice system that incarcerates millions for offenses that do not have victims, and cultural engineering that influences values, behaviors, and identities to align with the preferences of the elite.

Economic exploitation involves the systematic extraction of wealth through monetary manipulation (fiat inflation that systematically confiscates purchasing power), confiscatory taxation that funds state expansion and redistribution, debt dependency that traps individuals and nations in servitude, regulatory repression that protects incumbents while excluding competitors, and trade arrangements that transfer wealth from the periphery to the core.

Cultural manipulation occurs through educational indoctrination that displaces parental authority and instills state-approved ideology; media propaganda shapes narratives and manufactures consent; censorship and deplatforming suppress dissent; and a coordinated assault on traditional institutions (family, church, community) that provide meaning, identity, and resistance to state power.

International imperialism involves a global military empire with over 750 overseas bases, perpetual warfare normalized as a policy tool, regime change operations and coups that overthrow governments that resist Western domination, economic sanctions that deliberately target civilian populations, monetary hegemony enables economic control and exploitation, and selective application of international law punishes the weak while the powerful violate with impunity.

Global apartheid system that maintains racial hierarchy and hegemony through elaborate mechanisms—including fiat dollar dominance, debt imperialism, institutional capture, technological monopoly, cultural hegemony, and ideological control—that sustain Western supremacy while systematically subordinating non-Western peoples under the guise of universal values, democracy, and humanitarian concern.

Existential dehumanization refers to the ultimate direction toward transhumanism and the technological assault on human nature itself. This involves genetic engineering, neural enhancement, and AI integration, which could lead to the eventual replacement of biological humans with posthuman entities. This progression represents the logical culmination of a paradigm that denies humans their essential nature and transcendent dignity.

The logic of domination is not an accidental outcome, implementation failure, or corruption of an otherwise sound system. It is the necessary, predictable, and inevitable result of the Western paradigm's foundational premises. Domination is not an aberration of the system but its

structural logic and guiding principle.

Why This Is Sophisticated Barbarism

The Western paradigm qualifies as barbarism by precise analytical definition: the systematic denial of human dignity, the subordination of people to arbitrary power, the elevation of force over justice, the rejection of objective moral principles, the imposition of rivalry, conflict, and domination as natural conditions, the instrumentalization of moral language, and the normalization of violence.

What distinguishes modern Western barbarism from crude historical forms is its sophistication. Violence is hidden within institutional complexity, aggression is legitimized through law, exploitation is rationalized through academic theory, and domination is presented as benevolence through humanitarian rhetoric. Yet sophistication does not civilize barbarism; it makes it more dangerous and:

- Harder to recognize because the structural violence functions through normalized and complex systems, making it less visible than overt brutality, enabling perpetrators to deny responsibility and populations to avoid recognizing complicity.
- More systematic because institutional entrenchment ensures the violence operates continuously and automatically rather than depending on episodic decisions, producing harm on vastly greater scales than crude tyranny.
- Psychologically normalized as a routine operation through established procedures normalizes horror, making systemic violence appear as a natural feature of modern governance rather than an ongoing moral catastrophe that requires resistance.
- Difficult to resist because challenging sophisticated barbarism requires understanding complex systems, exposing hidden violence, overcoming institutional inertia, and confronting powerful interests—far harder than resisting crude oppression with identifiable perpetrators.

The deployment of reason, science, law, and institutional authority to justify and execute systemic violence represents a more egregious moral corruption than open brutality. Barbarism clothed in legality, expertise, and democratic legitimacy is not civilized; it is barbarism made more insidious and totalizing.

The Fundamental Choice

Humanity faces a civilizational crossroads that requires a fundamental decision about how

societies will be organized and what principles will govern human relations. This is not a choice between competing policy preferences or marginal adjustments but between radically different visions of human nature, morality, and social order.

The path of continued domination, persisting with the Western paradigm means accepting and intensifying its logic of perpetual warfare as normal policy with escalating risks of nuclear catastrophe; systemic exploitation through fiat monetary manipulation; debt imperialism; regulatory repression and political privilege; comprehensive surveillance and control through platforms that enable totalitarian possibilities unprecedented in history; cultural and spiritual decay that produces populations without purpose, meaning, or will to sustain civilization; transhumanist trajectory toward technological assault on human nature itself; and eventual catastrophic collapse through war, economic implosion, or social disintegration.

This path leads to intensified barbarism—more sophisticated in its methods, more comprehensive in its scope, and more devastating in its effects—until systemic contradictions lead to collapse.

The path of civilizational transformation requires embracing a fundamentally different paradigm grounded in truth, not ideology. This means rejecting consequentialism and adopting natural-moral law as objective and binding framework; dismantling statist concentration of power and organizing society through voluntary cooperation and respect for natural rights; restoring accurate anthropology that recognizes humans as moral agents created with dignity, not merely advanced animals; building institutions that protect rights rather than manage outcomes; establishing sound money systems that prevent systematic theft through inflation; restructuring international relations according to justice rather than power; cultivating a culture that forms virtue and moral grounding rather than engineers life; and pursuing peaceful human flourishing rather than technological transcendence of humanity.

This path leads to true civilization—not perfect or utopian, but characterized by human dignity, sound money, structural justice, and conditions that enable peaceful human flourishing in accordance with human moral nature.

The fundamental choice is between sophisticated barbarism and genuine civilization.

Africonomics: The Alternative Paradigm

Africonomics provides a principled and human-centered alternative to the Western paradigm, not as an incremental improvement or reform but as a fundamentally different foundation

capable of sustaining a structurally just, peaceful, and civilized order.

In this paradigm:

- Natural-moral law replaces consequentialism, moral anthropology replaces Darwinian animalization, ethical social order replaces statist coercion, and structural justice replaces structural violence.
- Limited government that protects rights rather than manages society, sound money that prevents systematic theft, free markets based on property rights and voluntary exchange, decentralized governance that respects subsidiarity, and international relations grounded in justice and sovereign equality.
- Moral formation cultivates virtue, family and community restoration, recovery of transcendent purpose, intellectual decolonization, cultural confidence, and the rejection of psychological subordination.

A civilized global community characterized by structural justice, peaceful cooperation, respect for human dignity, protection of rights, cultural diversity within moral bounds, and institutional conditions that enable collaborative human flourishing is possible.

Unlike the Western paradigm, the Africonomics paradigm is based not on ideological preferences but on objective truth about moral reality and human nature, recognizing that systems that conform to natural-moral law create stability and flourishing, while systems that violate it inevitably fail. The Western paradigm's sophistication in distorting and denying reality does not make it scientific or realistic; it makes it systematically delusional and comprehensively destructive.

Civilization is not defined by technological sophistication, material prosperity, institutional complexity, or military power. These can exist alongside, even facilitate, barbarism. Civilization is defined by whether power submits to principle, whether human dignity is protected, whether justice constrains force, and whether truth governs action.

The Western paradigm, despite its material achievements and institutional sophistication, is fundamentally barbaric because it systematically denies human dignity, rejects objective moral truth, normalizes violence, and organizes society around domination rather than justice. No amount of technological advancement, economic productivity, or institutional refinement can civilize a system built on false foundations that contradict human nature and violate moral law.

Thus, the Western paradigm's fundamental opposition to human dignity and moral truth

constitutes a *structural crime against humanity*—not through episodic acts of violence but through systemic operation of institutions, policies, and practices that reduce human beings to expendable means to collective ends and cogs in state machinery, that subordinate moral truth to strategic calculation, and that normalize violence, exploitation, and domination as inevitable features of human existence.

To move beyond the logic of domination and the Western system of global apartheid is not merely to improve governance or reform institutions; it is to reclaim human dignity and civilization itself. It is to affirm that human beings are moral agents, not evolved animals to be managed. It is to insist that power must submit to principles rather than defining them. It is to build societies worthy of human moral nature rather than societies that deny it.

The question confronting humanity is no longer whether the existing paradigm is sustainable—it demonstrably is not, as evidenced by mounting crises across every dimension. The question is no longer whether alternatives are possible—Africonomics articulates a principled alternative grounded in sound philosophical foundations. The question is whether humanity possesses the moral courage to replace the paradigm that has dominated for centuries with one grounded in truth.

Beyond the logic of domination lies true civilization. Beyond consequentialism lies objective morality. Beyond statism lies ethical social order. Beyond Darwinian animalization lies human dignity. And beyond Western hegemony lies a structurally just and fundamentally peaceful global community.

The alternative to principled transformation is not comfortable stability but intensified barbarism culminating in collapse. Africonomics provides the intellectual framework, moral foundation, and institutional guidance for this necessary civilizational transformation. All that remains is the courage to begin.

References

Tacanhó, Manuel. 2026. The Africonomics Theory of International Relations: A Natural-Moral Framework for Civilized Global Order. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 003/2026*. Available at: <https://www.afrindependent.org/publications/the-africonomics-theory-of-international-relati?type=academic-papers>.

Tacanhó, Manuel. 2025. Restoring Objective Morality: The Africonomics Refutation of Western Consequentialist Philosophies. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 012/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/author=5930078>.

Tacanhó, Manuel. 2025. The Scale of Statism: A Principled Framework for Ranking Socioeconomic Systems. *Afrindependent Institute Paper No. 005/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5392745>.

Tacanhó, Manuel. 2025. The Africonomics Theory of Structural Justice: A Transformative Framework for Civilized and Prosperous Society. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 006/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5404094>.

Tacanhó, Manuel. 2026. The Myth of Modern Capitalism: Why the Western Economic Order Is Statist, Not Free-Market. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 001/2026*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5805072>.

Tacanhó, Manuel. 2026. The Structural Violence of Socialist Systems: An Africonomics Refutation of Socialism and Coercive Governance. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 002/2026*. Available at: <https://www.afrindependent.org/publications/the-structural-violence-of-socialist-systems-an?type=academic-papers>.

Tacanhó, Manuel. 2025. The Philosophical Structure of Africonomics: A Principled Framework for African Sovereignty and Prosperity. *Afrindependent Institute Paper No. 001/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5236633>.

Tacanho, Manuel. 2025. The Ethical Foundations of Economics: Beyond the Myth of Value-Free Social Science. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 013/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5891122>.

Tacanho, Manuel. 2025. The Pseudoscience of Statist Economics: An Africonomics Refutation of Utilitarian and Positivist Models. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 014/2025*. Available at: <https://www.afrindependent.org/publications/the-pseudoscience-of-statist-economics-an-afric?type=academic-papers>.

Tacanho, Manuel. 2025. Africonomics and the Rebirth of Civilization: A Moral Vision for a Truly Civilized World. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 010/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5640650>.

Tacanho, Manuel. 2025. The African Worldview: A Principled and Civilized Vision in Contrast to the Western Aggressive Ethos. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 003/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5346402>.

Tacanho, Manuel. 2025. Mineral Fixation by Design: How the West Engineered Africa's Resource Dependency and Economic Underdevelopment. *Afrindependent Institute Policy Paper No. 005/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5365835>.

Tacanho, Manuel. 2025. The Africonomics Theory of Monetary Justice: A Refutation of Fiat Money and Fractional Reserve Banking. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 002/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5295888>.

Tacanho, Manuel. 2025. The Fiat Dollar Standard: A Global Experiment in Monetary Destruction. *Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 011/2025*. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=5721282>.

PUBLICATION:

The Western Consequentialist Paradigm: Statism, Darwinian Anthropology, and the
Logic of Domination

Afrindependent Institute Academic Paper No. 004/2026

www.afrindependent.org/publications

